

*Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*

*Volume 28, Issue 3, Page 54-64, 2024; Article no.JGEESI.113572 ISSN: 2454-7352*

# **Integrating GEO-Spatial Techniques for Reflecting Micro-Nutrients and Sulfur Variations and Spatial Distribution to Support Farm Management Practices**

# **V. Arunkumar <sup>a</sup>, K. Ananthi <sup>a</sup>, K. Manikandan <sup>b\*</sup>, M. Vijayakumar <sup>c</sup>and M. Yuvaraj <sup>a</sup>**

*<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Vazhavachanur - 606 753, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu, India. <sup>b</sup>Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Aduthurai, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India. <sup>c</sup>Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Kudumiyanmalai - 622 104, Pudukottai, Tamil Nadu, India.*

#### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2024/v28i3757

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113572

> *Received: 30/12/2023 Accepted: 04/03/2024 Published: 08/03/2024*

*Original Research Article*

#### **ABSTRACT**

To understand the spatial dependency of available sulphur and micronutrients in an experimental farm, 83 soil samples (surface and subsurface) were taken from Agricultural College, Killikulam to characterize the spatial variability of available Sulphur and micronutrients. The geostatistics and geographic information system (GIS) techniques were applied. With the help of geostatistical analyst of ArcGIS software kriged map of different soil parameters were prepared. Available S, Fe, Cu and Zn were fitted with a spherical model and that available Mn was fitted with an exponential

*\_*

*J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 54-64, 2024*

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: manikandan.k@tnau.ac.in;*

model. Soil available Cu had strong spatial dependence with a range of 3.62 km. Available Fe, Zn and Mn had moderate spatial dependence with a range of 9.47 km, 5.61 km and 2.70 km, respectively. Available sulphur had weak spatial dependence with a range of 6.86 km. The spatial distribution of the available sulphur and micronutrients were significantly correlated to the soil formation factors. Agricultural practices such as application of fertilizers and pesticides also had significant effects on the spatial distributions of the available micro-nutrients and sulfur.

*Keywords: Spatial variability; soil micro-nutrients; farm management; soil formation.*

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

"Soil is an important source of available micronutrients. Either shortage or surplus of available micronutrients in the soil would limit growth of crops. Understanding the spatial variabilities and distribution patterns of soil available micronutrients is essential for management of the soil. There are different techniques for evaluating soil spatial variability, including geostatistics and geographic information systems (GIS). These are very useful for the evaluation of soil variations and can reasonably characterize soil properties according to their spatial distribution. Such evaluations and interpretations are also applicable to neighbourhood areas" [1]. "Geostatistical tools are useful in the preparation of the maps based on the limited number of samples collected from agricultural land. Kriging interpolation technique predicts the values at unsampled locations by spatial correlation and reduces the variance of estimation error and investigation costs" [2,3]." The evaluated soil properties can be mapped at different distances" [4,5]. Soil maps could help in correcting management of soil nutrients. These maps are required to understand the patterns and processes of soil spatial variability and nutrient distribution with different anthropogenic activities.

Several authors have shown that the spatial distribution maps generated serve as productive tools for site-specific nutrient management. There is minimum information available on the spatial distributions of soil available micronutrients in experimental farm. The objectives of this study were: (1) to analyse the spatial dependency and understand the variation of available sulphur and micronutrients in an experimental farm and (2) map the spatial distribution of available sulphur and micronutrients in the soil.

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The spatial variability study was conducted in soils of experimental farm of Agricultural college and Research Institute, Killikulam, Tamil Nadu Agricultural university in Thoothukudi district (Fig. 1). Geographically the study area is located between 8°41' N to 8°43' N Latitude and 77°50' E to 77°53' E Longitudes. The annual rainfall of the region is 736.7 mm. The mean maximum and minimum temperatures are 38°C and 21°C, respectively. Thamirabarani is the main river flowing in the district from West to East direction.

Grid wise (200 x 200 m grids) soil samples were collected from 83 locations. The total geographical area is 332 ha. Soil samples were collected from two sampling depth, surface (0-15 cm) and sub surface (15-30 cm) at each grid point. A global positioning system (GPS) device was used to record the coordinates of each sampling point. Samples were air dried in shade and passed through 2 mm sieve and analyzed for available sulphur and micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn). The soil available sulphur was determined by  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$  0.15% extract [6]. The micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn) were extracted by diethylene triamine penta acetic acid [7]. followed by analysis using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Varian Spectr AA 55B).

#### **2.1 Descriptive Statistics**

The descriptive statistics namely minimum, maximum, standard deviation, mean, median, coefficient of variation, skewness, and kurtosis were calculated for each soil for each soil designated soil nutrient. The statistical analysis in the present study was done using the Statistical Product and Service Solution (SPSS 16.0) statistical package [8]. A correlation analysis was conducted to determine the relationship among surface soil properties under study [9].

#### **2.2 Geostatistical Analysis**

Geostatistical analysis of soil properties was performed to develop semivariogram model using Geostatistical analyst module of ArcGIS



**Fig. 1. Study area located in AC & RI, Killikulam farm of Thoothukudi District in Southern Tamil Nadu, India**

9.1. The data were checked for skewness. The skewed soil properties were transformed using natural logarithm to a nearly normal distribution and back transformed using back transformation [10]. Different variogram models *viz,* Spherical, Gaussian and exponential were fitted. Using the fitted models, an ordinary kriging were performed to estimate properties at unmeasured points as interpolated values for mapping [11].

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 presented statistical results of the available sulphur and micronutrients for 83 soil samples. Available sulphur ranged from low to high in surface and subsurface soils. Available Fe exhibited an average value of 9.77 mg/kg in the surface soil and 8.98 mg/kg in subsurface soil. The mean available Mn for both the surface

and subsurface soil were 9.42 mg/kg and 8.33 mg/kg, respectively, The available Mn, Cu and Zn content ranged from low to high [1] in both the surface and subsurface soil depths. The mean values of soil available Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn were higher for the surface soils. The soil sulphur showed a reverse trend of having high sulphur in the subsurface soil. This may be due to the presence of more amount of clay that has resulted in retention of more amount of nutrients.

The overall variability in soil properties can be assessed by the coefficient of variation (CVs). The CVs less than 15 indicated the low variation; CV ranging from 15-50% reveals moderate variability and CV > 50% represents high variability for the collected soil parameters. Descriptive statistics showed low to high variation in soil properties. Soil sulphur were

found to have moderate variability in all the depths as shown in Table 1. Available Zn exhibited very high variability in the surface and subsurface soils. The available Cu showed moderate variability in surface soils and high variability in subsurface soils. The addition of copper fungicides manures and application of fertilizers might be attributed to the variability in available Cu. The available Fe, Mn and Zn were observed to have high variability in both the depths. This variation in S and micronutrient concentration in the experimental farm is primarily because of red soil derived from granitic gneiss parent material, types of crops grown and amount and type of fertilizers applied Weathering of the parent material determines the natural supply of S and micronutrients. Both the microorganisms' and the crop residue's organic acids' release promotes the weathering of soil minerals and the subsequent release of nutrients. The available S > available Fe > available Mn > available Cu > available Zn was the sequence in which the mean concentrations of available nutrients were found.

All the soil properties at both sampling depths had positive skewness, except soil available sulphur in the subsurface soils. Available Fe, Mn and Cu had highly skewed distribution due to large variation within the field. Available Zn had a similar value of skewed distribution for both sampling depths.

# **3.1 Correlation between Soil Properties**

Table 2 shows the degree of correlation between soil properties for 83 soil samples. "Almost all of the variables except few were significantly correlated among each other. Available Fe, Cu and Zn were in positive correlation with the pH.

The available Mn were, however, in negative correlation with pH. In addition, the soil available Fe, Mn and Cu were in positive correlation with organic matter. Available copper was relatively high which was due to higher contents of organic matter and clay, because soil available Cu was in positive correlation with organic matter" [12,13].

# **3.2 Exploratory Data Analysis**

Figs. 2 and 3 presented the semivariogram and fitted models for each available S and micronutrient for surface and subsurface soils. The attributes of the semivariograms for each soil available micronutrient are summarised in Table 3. The semivariogram of surface soil properties *viz*., available S, Fe, Cu and Zn and subsurface soil properties available Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn were well defined by spherical model(Table 3). Surface Soil properties such as available Mn and subsurface soil properties like available S were well fitted by exponential model. A number of researchers reported that spherical models were the most effective for modeling most soil parameters [14,15].

The field variation and experimental error within the smallest possible sampling spacing are represented by the nugget variance. One criterion to categorize the geographical dependency of soil parameters is the nugget/sill ratio. The variable exhibits severe spatial dependency if the ratio is less than 25%; moderate geographic reliance is seen if the ratio is between 25% and 75%; and weak spatial dependence is shown if the ratio is larger than 75%. Extrinsic (soil management techniques, such fertilization) and intrinsic (soil formation factors, like soil parent materials) factors can influence the spatial variability of soil parameters.

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics of soil properties in study area**

<b>Soil Properties</b>	Min	<b>Max</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	CV(%)	<b>Kurtosis</b>	<b>Skewness</b>			
Surface soil (0-15cm)										
Available S (mg/kg)	3.17	17.58	10.42	3.61	34.64	$-0.98$	0.08			
Available Fe (mg/kg)	1.10	24.00	9.77	7.02	71.88	$-0.97$	0.67			
Available Mn (mg/kg)	1.12	25.10	9.42	6.55	69.55	$-0.47$	0.94			
Available Cu (mg/kg)	0.38	5.27	2.44	1.12	45.85	$-0.31$	0.24			
Available Zn (mg/kg)	0.05	4.90	1.16	0.99	84.92	2.46	1.45			
Subsurface soil (15-30 cm)										
Available S (mg/kg)	2.83	15.89	11.10	3.64	32.76	$-0.53$	$-0.85$			
Available Fe (mg/kg)	0.26	25.31	8.98	7.20	80.17	$-0.11$	1.02			
Available Mn (mg/kg)	0.26	25.76	8.33	5.95	71.41	1.40	1.36			
Available Cu (mg/kg)	0.09	4.79	1.78	1.07	60.32	$-0.07$	0.65			
Available Zn (mg/kg)	0.09	4.19	1.02	0.89	87.47	2.01	1.45			

	рH	<b>OC</b>	Available	Available	Available		Available Available
			S	Fe	Mn	Cu	Zn
pH							
<b>OC</b>	$0.251*$						
Available S	0.126	0.191					
Available Fe	$0.338**$	$0.331$ **	0.145				
Available Mn	$-0.170$	$0.246^*$	$0.283**$	$-0.038$			
Available Cu	$0.276*$	$0.331**$	$0.266*$	0.265	0.038		
Available Zn	$0.150*$	0.154	0.098	0.206	$-0.035$	$0.579$ **	

**Table 2. Correlation matrix for soil properties in study area**

*\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level \*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level*





Generally speaking, extrinsic variables are responsible for mild spatial dependence and intrinsic factors for substantial spatial reliance of soil parameters.

The results showed that strong spatial dependency for available Cu in the surface soil and available Mn in the subsurface soil with the nugget to sill ratio of <25 %, their spatial variabilities were mainly controlled by intrinsic factors such as the red soils derived from granitic gneiss, relief and soil types. Spatial dependence was moderate for available Fe, Mn and Zn in the surface soil (nugget to sill ratio between 25 and 75 %) [16]. Spatial dependence was moderate for available Fe in surface and subsurface soil. Weak spatial dependence was exhibited by available Sulphur in both the surface and subsurface soil. Strongly spatially dependent features may be regulated by inherent variation in soil qualities such as texture and mineralogy, which was found by Shukla et al. [17]. The ranges for available Mn and Cu were 2.7 km and 3.6 km, respectively. Available Fe has a range of 9.47 km [18].

"South east and northeast zone of the farm were low in available Zn; the soil available Zn in the zones was less than 1 mg/kg, and lower than the mean available Zn as shown in Fig. 4. In contrast, the north west zone of the farm has a high available Zn; the soil available Zn in the zone was high ranging from 1.80 mg/kg to 4.9 mg/kg. The soil pH value in north west of the farm was between 6.0–6.9. High soil pH usually results in low available Zn, as the available micronutrients decrease with increasing pH" [1].

"The soil available Fe had distinct geographical distribution, was high in Central experimental farm including the north and west area, and the lowest in southeast of the farm, which was comparable with pH and organic matter" [19]. The soil available sulphur was high in the central part of experimental farm and low in the south west of the experimental farm. From the maps shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 of soil available sulphur and micronutrients, information about their spatial distribution over long distances could be clearly achieved.







**Available Zn**

**Fig. 2. Semi variograms and fitted models of surface soil properties**





**Available Zn**

**Fig. 3. Semi variograms and fitted models of sub surface soil properties**



*Arunkumar et al.; J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 54-64, 2024; Article no.JGEESI.113572*

**Fig. 4. Soil map of spatial distribution of nutrients for surface soil in the study area**



*Arunkumar et al.; J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 54-64, 2024; Article no.JGEESI.113572*



**DTPA – Zn(PPM)**

#### **4. CONCLUSIONS**

The CV values for the available sulphur and micronutrients decreased in the order of available Zn> Fe > Mn >Cu>S. Geostatistical analysis indicated that the semivariograms for available S, Fe, Cu and Zn were fitted with a spherical model and that available Mn was fitted with an exponential model. The available sulphur and micronutrients had different degrees of

Legend<br>DTPA-Cu

 $57 - 47$ 

**Available Cu Available Zn**

variability; soil available Cu had strong spatial dependence with a range of 3.62 km. Available Fe, Mn and Zn had moderate spatial dependence with a range of 9.47 km, 2.70 km and 5.61 km, respectively. Available sulphur had weak spatial dependence with a range of 6.86 km. Integrating geostatistics and GIS to study spatial variability and map soil available sulphur and micronutrients provides an opportunity to assess variability in the distribution of native

micronutrients. These results incorporated with the soil maps provide a basis of information for managing experimental farm in a rationally sitespecific and precise way in alleviating the micronutrient deficiency.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Kumar P, Kumar P, Sharma M. Spatial variability of soil nutrients in apple orchards and agricultural areas in Kinnaur region of cold desert, Trans-Himalaya, India. Environment Monitoring and Assessment. 2022;194:290
- 2. Rosemary F, Vitharana UWA, Indraratne S P, Weerasooriya R, Mishra U. Exploring the spatial variability of soil properties in an Alfisol soil. catena. Catena. 2017;150:53– 61.
- 3. Tamburi V, Shetty A Shrihari, S. Spatial variability of vertisols nutrients in the Deccan plateau region of north Karnataka, India. Environment Development and Sustainability. 2021;23: 2910–2923.
- 4. Rahul T, Kumar NA, Biswaranjan D, Mohammad S, Banwari L, Priyanka G, Sangita M, Bihari P B, Rabi NS Shukla AK. Assessing soil spatial variability and delineating site-specific management zones for a coastal saline land in eastern India, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science; 2019.

DOI: 10.1080/03650340.2019.1578345

- 5. Reza SK, Nayak DC, Mukhopadhyay S, Chattopadhyay T, Singh SK. Characterizing spatial variability of soil properties in alluvial soils of India using geostatistics and geographical information system. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2017;63(11):1489–1498.
- 6. William CH, Steinbergs A. 1959. Soil sulfur fractions as chemical indices of available sulphur in some Australian Soils. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research. 1959;10:340–352.
- 7. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1978;42:421-448.
- 8. Hejase AJ, Hejase HJ. Research methods: A practical approach for

business students. Masadir Incorporated; 2013.

- 9. Jiang H, Liu G, Wang X, Song W, Zhang R, Zhang R, Hu H, Li L. Delineation of site-specific management zones based on soil properties for a hillside field in central China. Archieves in Agronomy and Soil Science. 2012;58: 1075–1090.
- 10. Tripathi R, Nayak AK, Shahid M, Lal B, Gautam P, Raja R, Mohanty S, Kumar A, Panda BB, Sahoo RN. Delineation of soil management zones for a rice<br>cultivated area in eastern India in eastern India using fuzzy clustering. Catena. 2015;133: 128-36.
- 11. Schepers JF, Shanahan MA, Liebig JS, Schepers S, Johnson A, Luchiari. Delineation of management zones that characterize spatial variability of soil properties and corn yields across years. Agronomy Journal. 2004;96:195– 203.
- 12. Liu XM, Xu JM, Zhang MK, Huang JH, Shi JC, Yu XF. Application of geostatistics and GIS technique to characterize spatial variabilities of bioavailable micronutrients in paddy soils. Environmental Geology. 2004;46:189-194.
- 13. Liu Z, Zhou W, Shen J, He P, Lei Q, Liang G. A simple assessment on spatial variability of rice yield and selected soil chemical properties of paddy fields in South China. Geoderma*.* 2014;235–236: 39–47.<br>Lopez-Granados
- 14. Lopez-Granados LF, Exposito MJ, Atenciano S, Ferrer AG, Orden MS, Torres LG. Spatial variability of agricultural soil parameters in Southern Spain. Plant and Soil. 2002;246:97-105.
- 15. Metwally MS, Shaddad SM, Liu M, Yao R, Abdo A I, Li P, Jiao J, Chen, X. Soil Properties Spatial Variability and Delineation of Site-Specific Management Zones Based on Soil Fertility Using Fuzzy Clustering in a Hilly Field in Jianyang, Sichuan, China. Sustainability, 2018;11 (24):7084.
- 16. Cambardella CA, Moorman TB, Novak JM, Parkin TB, Karlen DL, Tureo RF, Knnopka AK. Field scale variability of soil properties in central Iowa soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1994;58:1501- 1511.
- 17. Shukla AK, Behera SK, Singh VK, Prakash C, Sachan AK, Dhaliwal SS. Premonsoon spatial distribution of available

micronutrients and sulphur in surface soils and their management zones in Indian Indo-Gangetic Plain. 2020. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(6):e0234053.

18. Desta MK, Broadley MR, McGrath SP, Hernandez-Allica J, Hassall KL, Gameda S, Amede T Haefele SM. Plant Available Zinc Is Influenced by Landscape Position in the Amhara Region, Ethiopia. Plants. 2021;10(2):254

19. Liu XM, Xu JM, Zhang MK, Huang JH, Shi JC, Yu XF. Application of geostatistics and GIS technique to characterize spatial variabilities of bioavailable micronutrients in paddy soils. Environmental geology. 2004:46:189-94.

\_ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113572*