## Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International



**32(20):** 93-102, 2020; Article no.JPRI.59683 ISSN: 2456-9119 (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

# Decoding the Genetic Alterations in PRAME Gene Family and Its Association with Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma

J. Dhivyadharshini<sup>1</sup>, A. S. Smiline Girija<sup>2</sup>, A. Paramasivam<sup>3</sup> and J. Vijayashree Priyadharsini<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, 162, Poonamallee High Road, Chennai 600077, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>3</sup>Biomedical Research Unit and Laboratory Animal Centre, Dental Research Cell, Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai 600077, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author JVP designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors ASSG and AP managed the analyses of the study. Author JD managed the literature searches and performed certain computational analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2020/v32i2030733 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) John Yahya I. Elshimali, UCLA School of Medicine, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, USA. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Patsaraporn Somboonsak, Ratjabhat University Thailand. (2) Kiran Dahiya, Pandit Bhagwat Dayal Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, India. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/59683</u>

> Received 05 June 2020 Accepted 10 August 2020 Published 28 August 2020

Original Research Article

#### ABSTRACT

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is the most common form of cancer with an incidence rate greater in male than in female. Advancements in molecular diagnostics have identified several pathways which can have a direct or indirect role in the development and progression of HNSCC. The PRAME (PReferentially Antigen expressed in MElanoma) gene family is yet another group of genes which has been recently implicated in HNSCC. The present study aims to identify the genetic alterations, the pattern of gene expression and the consequence of

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: vijayashreej.sdc@saveetha.com;

mutations in the *PRAME* family of genes in HNSCC patients. Several databases such as cBioportal, gnomAD, IMutant, PROVEAN were used to assess genetic alterations. The alterations included deep deletions, amplification, inframe, missense, truncating mutations. The gene showing the highest frequency of alteration (PRAME - 3%) was further assessed for its gene expression profile using the UALCAN database. The expression profile relative to normal samples was found to be significantly higher in HNSCC patients ( $p = 1.11 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Further, the survival curve based on high and low/medium expression of the PRAME gene was assessed by Kaplan-Meier method. The analysis revealed a significant difference in the survival rate of patients with high and low/medicum level expression (0.0095). In addition, the high level expression was found to be associated with poor survival rate in HNSCC patients compared to those exhibiting low and medium level expression. In conclusion the study provides insights into the putative association of genes of the *PRAME* family with HNSCC. The preliminary results have to be further validated using experimental procedures.

Keywords: Head and neck cancer; association; PRAME gene expression; genetic alterations.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck (HNSCCs) are an invasive genetically complex phenotype with an incidence rate reaching a steep increase in the developing nations. Despite several treatments options, the primary treatment choices for most patients are surgery and radiotherapy. But these therapies are associated with significant morbidity and a decline in quality of life. Radiotherapy resistance is most commonly observed in HNSCC patients [1]. The papillomavirus (HPV16,18) human was unambiguously implicated in a subset of these malignant growths as a causative factor. Treatment options for an individual are decided based on some parameters such as the capability to tolerate treatment, concurrent sickness and the awaited practical results [2,3]. The proportion of male and female ranges somewhere in the range of 2:1 and 4:1. Major malignant growths in the HNSCC are precipitated after the upper aerodigestive epithelium is exposed to carcinogenic agents. Such malignancies are unequivocally connected with certain hazard factors, viz., smokeless tobacco, pan, gutka, alcoholism, and certain other environmental factors [4]. The identification of genetic alterations in crucial genes known to be associated with HNSCC would open new avenues towards identification of potential targets to develop diagnostic and therapeutic molecules. The PRAME (Preferentially Expressed Antigen in Melanoma) family of genes were found to be associated with solid tumors [5-7]. The protein was found to trigger cell mediated immune response in melanoma [8]. Despite the fact that PRAME is weakly expressed in normal tissues except for the testis, it is found to contribute to disease by modifying the retinol

pathway [9]. A very recent study conducted by Szczepanski and colleagues demonstrated the association of PRAME gene expression with poor outcomes in HNSCC patients [10]. Another study has also documented the involvement of PRAME in the epithelial mesenchymal transition of triple negative breast cancer [11]. In this context, the present *in silico* study was designed to identify gene alterations and differential expression patterns in the *PRAME* gene family.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Data Source

The present study follows a retrospective design of the observational study. The source of patient data was obtained from the database cBioportal [12,13]. The TCGA. Firehose legacy data set consisted of 528 cases of the head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, of which 504 tumor samples had sequencing and copy number alteration data. The demographic details of the patients have been given in Table 1. The database "HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee at the European Bioinformatics Institute" (www.genenames.org/data/) contained a list of essential genes belonging to the PRAME gene family (23 genes). A user defined query of the list of genes in the PRAME gene family was submitted and the resulting oncoprint data was analysed further. Oncoprint data provided information on the type of alterations identified in the PRAME family of genes. The alterations included gene amplification, deep deletions and several forms of mutations and variations (Table 2; Fia. 1).

| Gender                    | Male (n = 386)                      |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                           | Female (n = 142)                    |
| Mutation count            | 6-3181                              |
| Diagnosis age             | 19-90 years                         |
| Smoking status            | Smokers: 515                        |
|                           | Data not available: 12              |
|                           | Unknown: 1                          |
| Alcohol history           | Yes – 352                           |
|                           | No – 165                            |
|                           | Data not available: 11              |
| Neoplasm Histologic grade | Grade 1: 63                         |
|                           | Grade 2: 311                        |
|                           | Grade 3: 125                        |
|                           | Grade 4: 7                          |
|                           | Grade GX: 18                        |
|                           | Data not available: 4               |
| Race category             | White: 452                          |
|                           | African: 48                         |
|                           | Asian: 11                           |
|                           | American Indian or Alaska native: 2 |
|                           | Data not available: 15              |

Table 1. Demographic details of patients analysed in the present study (as obtained from the cBioportal site)

## 2.2 gnomAD Analysis

Dataset gnomAD v2.1.1 consists of an array of 125,748 exomes and 15,708 individual sequencing genomes. Such research was used to search for the occurrence of the missense variants found in the HNSCC data in other persons for whom the sequencing data is available. Variations across 141,456 human exomes and genomes show the continuum of resistance and loss of function across human protein coding genes [14].

## 2.3 Protein Stability and Pathogenicity Analysis

The stability of the proteins upon substitution of one amino acid with the other was identified using I-Mutant suit 2.0 version. The predictions were based on the free energy change values (DDG). Any value below or less than 0 is considered to decrease the stability and values greater than 0 is considered to increase stability [15]. The PROVEAN (Protein Variation Effect Analyzer) tool was used to predict the impact on the biological function of a protein upon substitution with an amino acid (Table 3) [16]. Any score below -2.5 is considered to pathogenic and a score above -2.5 is considered to be neutral.

## 2.4 UALCAN analysis

The expression of the gene in HNSCC was analysed using the UALCAN (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/cgi-bin/TCGAsurvival1.pl?genenam) database (Figs. 2 and 3). Gene expression data was expressed as transcripts per million (TPM) which is a normalization method for RNA- seg data. The TPM values used for the generation of boxwhisker plots were also used to determine the significant difference between the groups. Survival effect analysis of gene expression were assessed using multivariate Kaplan- Meier survival analysis (Fig. 4) [17].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Oncoprint data demonstrated a similar pattern of gene amplification and deletion in PRAME and PRAMENP genes in thirteen HNSCC patients. Interestingly, four other patients also showed a similar pattern of gene alteration (Fig. 1). Several mutations such as inframe, missense and truncating mutations have been identified. The gnomAD analysis revealed a few reported variants in PRAMEF1 (rs149382773), PRAMEF4 (rs753793229), PRAMEF7 (rs779669158), PRAMEF10 (rs1167071023), PRAMEF12 (rs752095583, rs757917825) and PRAMEF18,

(*rs1384433084*) genes. The *PRAME* gene was found to harbour the highest frequency of alteration (3%). Several variants identified in the genes of the *PRAME* gene family was found to alter the protein stability resulting in pathogenic phenotypes. The present study is first of its kind to report genetic variants and alterations in the PRAME family of genes in HNSCC patients (Table 2 and 3).

| Gene     | Protein   | Alteration   | Cytogenetic<br>location | Percentage of alteration | Variant<br>allele<br>frequency<br>in tumor<br>sample           | gnomAD<br>frequency<br>data                                 |
|----------|---|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| PRAME    | Preferentially<br>expressed<br>Antigen in<br>Melanoma | Amplification<br>Deep deletion<br>L119R<br>Q287P<br>L313P                                    | 22q11.22                | 3                        | -<br>0.12<br>0.30<br>0.50                                      | -<br>-<br>Novel   |
|          |   | R125Q  |                         |                          | 0.06   | Novel   |
| PRAMEF1  | PRAME family<br>member 1                              | Amplification<br>Deep deletion<br>V171I<br>E338K   | 1p36.21                 | 1.6                      | -<br>-<br>0.23<br>0.23   | -<br>-<br>Novel<br>Novel                                    |
|          |   | T72K<br>N297K  |                         |                          | 0.38<br>0.03   | rs149382773<br>Novel  |
| PRAMEF2  | PRAME family<br>member 2                              | Amplification<br>Deep deletion<br>Y194H<br>H268R<br>A114P<br>E11Q<br>L313I<br>R174M<br>T381A | 1p36.21                 | 2.2                      | -<br>-<br>0.02<br>0.19<br>0.39<br>0.19<br>0.19<br>0.04<br>0.06 | Novel<br>Novel<br>Novel<br>Novel<br>Novel<br>Novel<br>Novel |
| PRAMEF4  | PRAME family member 4                                 | Amplification<br>Deep deletion<br>P418L  | 1p36.21                 | 1                        | -<br>-<br>0.02   | rs753793229   |
| PRAMEF5  | PRAME family<br>member 5                              | Amplification<br>Deep deletion   | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -   |
| PRAMEF6  | PRAME family member 6                                 | Amplification<br>Deep deletion<br>V135E  | 1p36.21                 | 1                        | -<br>-<br>0.10   | -<br>-<br>Novel   |
| PRAMEF7  | PRAME family member 7                                 | Amplification<br>Deep deletion<br>S317N  | 1p36.21                 | 1                        | -<br>-<br>0.13   | -<br>-<br>rs779669158                                       |
| PRAMEF8  | PRAME family<br>member 8                              | Amplification<br>Deep deletion   | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -   |
| PRAMEF9  | PRAME family member 9                                 | Amplification<br>Deep deletion   | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -   |
| PRAMEF10 | PRAME family<br>member 10                             | Amplification<br>Deep deletion<br>Q270L<br>M46R<br>L266P<br>R96S                             | 1p36.21                 | 1.6                      | -<br>0.20<br>0.61<br>0.23<br>0.10                              | -<br>Novel<br>rs1167071023<br>Novel<br>Novel                |
| PRAMEF12 | PRAME family member 12                                | Amplification<br>Deep deletion<br>R94C<br>Q4*  | 1p36.21                 | 1.8                      | -<br>-<br>0.27<br>0.25   | -<br>rs752095583<br>rs757917825                             |

## Table 2. Frequency and type of genetic alteration in the PRAME family of genes

| Gene     | Protein       | Alteration    | Cytogenetic<br>location | Percentage of alteration | Variant<br>allele<br>frequency<br>in tumor<br>sample | gnomAD<br>frequency<br>data |
|----------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
|          |               | S307W         |                         |                          | 0.26   | Novel                       |
|          |               | P238L         |                         |                          | 0.21   | Novel                       |
|          |               | S128I         |                         |                          | 0.29   | Novel                       |
| PRAMEF13 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -                           |
|          | member 13     | Deep deletion |                         |                          | -  | -                           |
| PRAMEF14 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -                           |
|          | member 14     | Deep deletion |                         |                          | -  | -                           |
| PRAMEF15 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -                           |
|          | member 15     | Deep deletion | ·                       |                          | -  | -                           |
| PRAMEF17 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 1                        | -  | -                           |
|          | member 17     | Deep deletion | •                       |                          | -  | -                           |
|          |               | S117A         |                         |                          | 0.15   | Novel                       |
| PRAMEF18 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 1.6                      | -  | -                           |
|          | member 18     | Deep deletion | •                       |                          | -  | -                           |
|          |               | N448Tfs*?     |                         |                          | 0.33   | Novel                       |
|          |               | N448Qfs*19    |                         |                          | 0.36   | Novel                       |
|          |               | 1354V         |                         |                          | 0.28   | rs1384433084                |
|          |               | L373M         |                         |                          | 0.03   | Novel                       |
| PRAMEF19 | PRAMF family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -                           |
|          | member 19     | Deep deletion |                         |                          | -  | -                           |
| PRAMEF20 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -                           |
|          | member 20     | Deep deletion |                         | 0.0                      | -  | -                           |
| PRAMFF22 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 0.8                      | -  | -                           |
|          | member 22     | Deep deletion |                         | 010                      | _  | -                           |
| PRAMEF25 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1p36.21                 | 0                        | -  | -                           |
|          | member 22     | Deep deletion |                         | -                        | -  | -                           |
| PRAMEF26 | PRAME family  | K159del       | 1p36.21                 | 0.2                      | 0.14   | Novel                       |
|          | member 26     |               |                         | •.=                      |  |                             |
| PRAMEF27 | PRAME family  | Amplification | 1n36 21                 | 0                        | -  | -                           |
|          | member 22     | Deep deletion |                         | •                        | -  | -                           |
| PRAMENP  | PRAME N-      | Amplification | 22a11 22                | 26                       | -  | -                           |
|          | Terminal like | Deep deletion |                         | 2.0                      | -  | _                           |
|          | Pseudogene    |               |                         |                          |  |                             |

The gene expression profile of PRAME gene relative to normal sample was found to be increased significantly ( $p = 1.11 \times 10^{-16}$ ). The differential expression pattern was also observed in different grades of tumor (Fig. 3). This type of differential expression is indicative of the fact that the protein can be used as a marker for the diagnosis of progressive tumor. The survival of HNSCC patients based on the high and low/medium expression of PRAME gene also returned a significant p value of 0.0095, wherein overexpression of PRAME was associated with poor survival outcomes in HNSCC patients when compared to those exhibiting a low/medium gene expression. These results were in agreement with similar studies carried out in PRAME gene on multiple tumor types and populations. A study conducted by Yang and team investigated the

impact of copy number variations on PRAME expression in multiple myeloma (MM) patients. Their results showed that 28% of patients showed over-expression of PRAME which also correlated with lower one year progression free survival when compared to patients with low expression levels. Therefore, they concluded by stating that overexpression of PRAME could act as an adverse prognostic factor in case of Another study MM [18]. designed to determine the frequency of expression of tumor associated antigens in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients of Taiwan showed 59.2% of patients with squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) had expression of PRAME. Also the expression of PRAME was more frequent in SCC when compared to adenocarcinomas [19]. Xu et al, reported the expression of PRAME in salivary duct carcinoma (SDC) [20]. They observed a significant correlation of several immunological markers along with PRAME in tumor cells, thus proving the role of the gene in SDC. A very

recent study by Toyoma and colleagues proposed that high PRAME expression correlated with poor prognosis in mucosal melanomas [21].



Fig. 1.The oncoprint data depicting different types of gene alterations in the *PRAME* family of genes



Fig. 2. represents the expression of the *PRAME* gene in the primary tumor of HNSCC patients relative to normal samples

X axis represents TCGA sample dataset and Y axis represents the PRAME gene expression (transcript per million). The p value was found to be  $1.11 \times 10^{-16}$ , where a p value <0.05 was considered to be significant.



Fig. 3. Box-whisker plot representing the differential gene expression pattern of the *PRAME* gene across different tumor grades

X axis represents the different grades of HNSCC samples from the TCGA data set and Y axis represents the PRAME gene expression in HNSC in transcript per million (TPM). A significant difference in the gene expression profile was observed between normal vs grade 1 ( $p = 8.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ), normal vs grade 2 ( $p = < 10^{-12}$ ) and normal vs grade 3 (3.125 x 10<sup>-8</sup>). A p value less than 0.05 is considered to be significant





The x - axis represents time in days and y - axis denotes the survival probability in HNSC patients. The red line corresponds to high level expression and the blue line represents low/medium level expression. A significant association was observed between high and low/medium level expression of PRAME (p = 0.0095). A p value less than 0.05 is considered to be significant.

| Gene     | Alteration | IMutant    | IMutant | PROVEAN     | PROVEAN |
|----------|------------|------------|---------|-------------|---------|
|          |            | Prediction | Score   | Prediction  | Score   |
| PRAME    | L119R      | Decrease   | -0.95   | Deleterious | -5.964  |
|          | Q287P      | Decrease   | -1.13   | Deleterious | -5.407  |
|          | L313R      | Decrease   | -1.30   | Deleterious | -5.843  |
|          | R125Q      | Decrease   | -1.07   | Deleterious | -2.571  |
| PRAMEF1  | V171I      | Decrease   | -0.06   | Neutral     | -0.844  |
|          | E338K      | Increase   | 0.15    | Neutral     | -2.075  |
|          | T72K       | Decrease   | -0.32   | Neutral     | -1.305  |
|          | N297K      | Decrease   | -0.60   | Neutral     | -1.822  |
| PRAMEF2  | Y194H      | Decrease   | - 0.76  | Neutral     | 1.041   |
|          | H268R      | Increase   | 0.36    | Deleterious | -4.565  |
|          | A114P      | Decrease   | -1.40   | Neutral     | -1.356  |
|          | E11Q       | Increase   | 0.45    | Neutral     | -1.601  |
|          | L313I      | Increase   | 0.51    | Neutral     | -1.854  |
|          | R174M      | Decrease   | -0.57   | Deleterious | -5.405  |
|          | T381A      | Decrease   | -0.17   | Deleterious | -3.315  |
| PRAMEF4  | P418L      | Decrease   | -0.91   | Deleterious | -9.315  |
| PRAMEF6  | V135E      | Decrease   | -1.35   | Deleterious | -2.89   |
| PRAMEF7  | S317N      | Decrease   | -2.18   | Neutral     | 0.691   |
| PRAMEF10 | Q270L      | Decrease   | -0.37   | Deleterious | -5.046  |
|          | M46R       | Increase   | 0.02    | Neutral     | 2.204   |
|          | L266P      | Decrease   | -0.88   | Deleterious | -5.697  |
|          | R96S       | Decrease   | -1.55   | Deleterious | -5.633  |
| PRAMEF12 | R94C       | Decrease   | -0.99   | Deleterious | -4.786  |
|          | S307W      | Increase   | 0.25    | Deleterious | -5.728  |
|          | S128I      | Increase   | 0.80    | Neutral     | -2.302  |
| PRAMEF17 | A117S      | Increase   | 0.09    | Neutral     | -2.11   |
| PRAMEF18 | L354V      | Increase   | 0.97    | Deleterious | -2.818  |
|          | L373M      | Decrease   | -0.07   | Neutral     | -1.943  |

Table 3. Protein stability and pathogenesis of variants identified in PRAME family of genes

Several molecular pathways have been implicated in PRAME mediated neoplastic transformation of the cells. It is a dominant repressor of signalling pathway involved in the retinoic acid metabolism. This process was found to inhibit differentiation of cells, arrest of proliferative capability and apoptosis. Overexpression in myeloid cells prevented its differentiation [22]. Recent reports have identified that PRAME could promote tumor initiation and progression through different molecular mechanisms. The involvement in transcriptional regulation of driver genes involved in tumor promotion is one important pathway which is worth mentioning [23]. Interactome studies on PRAME revealed that it recruits Cullin2 ubiquitin ligases which are involved in the process of transcriptional regulation. maintenance of telomere and modification of transfer RNAs viz., threonylcarbamoyladenosine (t6A) which decodes the Adenosine residues in mRNA [24-261. Computational tools have been regarded as a boon to molecular biologist since an exhaustive collection of data is made available to the researchers to analyze. Numerous studies

have been designed based on the preliminary results obtained from such simulations [27]. Despite the advantages listed, the study also suffers some limitations such as, (a) the patients recruited in the dataset is most of the American representative of population, hence the variants observed and their frequencies could differ among different populations world-wide, (b) the predictions about protein stability and pathogenicity may vary in an original biological system and hence more tools are required to arrive at a conclusion about the results obtained. With all the limitations addressed, the authors present with the preliminary data to provide evidence on the putative association of PRAME gene with HNSCC.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The *PRAME* is one of the most widely experimented cancer testis antigen in various cancers. The fact that the expression is restricted to somatic tissues, its expression in different types of cancer is worth investigating. The overexpression of PRAME is more often associated with the risk of metastasis and poor survival rate. Although considered to be an infamous protein molecule, PRAME has recently gained attention as a potential candidate for immunotherapy. Further investigations employing functional analysis of the variants and population wide screening would gather more information on the association of PRAME gene alterations with HNSCC.

## CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per university standard guideline, patients' consent and ethical approval have been collected and preserved by the authors

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank all the consorts and groups who were involved in the compilation of data from patients for public use. Our sincere thanks to all the patients who have indirectly contributed to the scientific community by providing consent for sharing their data for research use.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Alsahafi E, Begg K, Amelio I, Raulf N, Lucarelli P, Sauter T, et al. Clinical update on head and neck cancer: Molecular biology and ongoing challenges. Cell Death Dis. 2019;10:540.
- Argiris A, Karamouzis MV, Raben D, Ferris RL. Head and neck cancer. Lancet 2008; 371:1695–709.
- 3. Tobias JS. Cancer of the head and neck. BMJ. 1994;308:961–6.
- Biswas R, Halder A, Ghosh A, Ghosh SK. A comparative study of treatment outcome in younger and older patients with locally advanced oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancers treated by chemoradiation. South Asian J Cancer. 2019;8:47–51.
- Atanackovic D, Luetkens T, Kloth B, Fuchs G, Cao Y, Hildebrandt Y, et al. Cancertestis antigen expression and its epigenetic modulation in acute myeloid leukemia. Am J Hematol. 2011;86:918–22.
- 6. Epping MT, Bernards R. A causal role for the human tumor antigen preferentially

expressed antigen of melanoma in cancer. Cancer Res. 2006;66:10639–42.

- Zou C, Shen J, Tang Q, Yang Z, Yin J, Li Z, et al. Cancer-testis antigens expressed in osteosarcoma identified by gene microarray correlate with a poor patient prognosis. Cancer. 2011;118:1845–55.
- Ikeda H, Lethe B, Lehmann F, van Baren N, Baurain JF, de Smet C, et al. Characterization of an antigen that is recognized on a melanoma showing partial HLA loss by CTL expressing an NK inhibitory receptor. Immunity. 1997;6:199– 208.
- Epping MT, Wang L, Edel MJ, Carlee L, Hernandez M, Bernards R. The human tumor antigen PRAME is a dominant repressor of retinoic acid receptor signaling. Cell. 2005;122:835–47.
- Szczepanski MJ, DeLeo AB, Łuczak M, et al. PRAME expression in head and neck cancer correlates with markers of poor prognosis and might help in selecting candidates for retinoid chemoprevention in pre-malignant lesions. Oral Oncol. 2013; 49(2):144-151.
- Al-Khadairi G, Naik A, Thomas R, Al-Sulaiti B, Rizly S, Decock J. PRAME promotes epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in triple negative breast cancer. J Transl Med. 2019;17(1):9.
- Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov 2012; 2:401–4.
- Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. Sci Signal. 2013;6:11.
- 14. Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q, et al. Variation across 141,456 human exomes and genomes reveals the spectrum of lossof-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes. bioRxiv. 2019: 531210.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1101/531210

- Capriotti E, Fariselli P, Casadio R. I-Mutant2.0: Predicting stability changes upon mutation from the protein sequence or structure. Nucleic Acids Res 2005; 33:306–10.
- 16. Choi Y, Chan AP. PROVEAN web server: a tool to predict the functional effect of

amino acid substitutions and indels. Bioinformatics 2015;31(16):2745-2747.

- Chandrashekar DS, Bashel B, Balasubramanya SAH, Creighton CJ, Ponce-Rodriguez I, Chakravarthi BVSK, et al. UALCAN: A portal for facilitating tumor subgroup gene expression and survival analyses. Neoplasia 2017;19: 649–58.
- Yang L, Wang YZ, Zhu HH, et al. PRAME Gene copy number variation is related to Its expression in multiple myeloma. DNA Cell Biol. 2017;36(12):1099-1107.
- 19. Pan SH, Su KY, Spiessens B, et al. Gene expression of MAGE-A3 and PRAME tumor antigens and EGFR mutational status in taiwanese non-small cell lung cancer patients. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol. 2017;13(5): 212-23.
- Xu B, Jungbluth AA, Frosina D, et al. The immune microenvironment and expression of PD-L1, PD-1, PRAME and MHC I in salivary duct carcinoma. Histopathology. 2019;75(5):672-682.
- Toyama A, Siegel L, Nelson AC, et al. Analyses of molecular and histopathologic features and expression of PRAME by immunohistochemistry in mucosal melanomas. Mod Pathol. 2019;32(12): 1727-1733.
- Oehler VG, Guthrie KA, Cummings CL, Sabo K, Wood BL, Gooley T, Yang T, Epping MT, Shou Y, Pogosova-Agadjanyan E, et al. The preferentially expressed antigen in melanoma (PRAME) inhibits myeloid differentiation in normal hematopoietic and leukemic progenitor cells. Blood. 2009;114:3299–3308.

- Yong ASM, Keyvanfar K, Eniafe R, Savani BN, Rezvani K, Sloand EM, Goldman JM, Barrett AJ. Hematopoietic stem cells and progenitors of chronic myeloid leukemia express leukemia-associated antigens: Implications for the graft-versus-leukemia effect and peptide vaccine-based immunotherapy. Leukemia. 2008;22:1721– 1727.
- 24. Costessi A, Mahrour N, Sharma V, Stunnenberg R, Stoel MA, Tijchon E, Conaway JW, Conaway RC, Stunnenberg HG. The human EKC/KEOPS complex is recruited to Cullin2 ubiquitin ligases by the human tumour antigen PRAME. PLoS ONE. 2012;7:42822.
- Costessi A, Mahrour N, Tijchon E, Stunnenberg R, Stoel MA, Jansen PW, Sela D, Martin-Brown S, Washburn MP, Florens L, et al. The tumour antigen PRAME is a subunit of a Cul2 ubiquitin ligase and associates with active NFY promoters. EMBO J. 2011;30:3786– 3798.
- Downey M, Houlsworth R, Maringele L, Rollie A, Brehme M, Galicia S, Guillard S, Partington M, Zubko MK, Krogan NJ, et al. A genome-wide screen identifies the evolutionarily conserved KEOPS complex as a telomere regulator. Cell. 2006;124: 1155–1168.
- Vijayashree P, Paramasivam A. Virtual screening of mutations in antioxidant genes and its putative association with HNSCC: An *In silico* approach. Mutat Res Fund Res Fund Mol M. 2020:111710. [Epub ahead of print].

© 2020 Dhivyadharshini et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/59683