Annual Research & Review in Biology

Volume 39, Issue 10, Page 1-10, 2024; Article no.ARRB.123233
ISSN: 2347-565X, NLM ID: 101632869
(Past name: Annual Review & Research in Biology, Past ISSN: 2231-4776)

Understanding the Impact: Insect Pests of Millets and their Consequences on Yield Loss

K. S. Nikhil Reddy [a++*], G. Ranjitha [b++], T. N. Nagarjuna [a++] and K. S. Shalini [a++]

[a] Department of Entomology, Keladi Shivappa Nayaka University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga-577204, India.
[b] Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Mandya-571405, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author KSNR designed the study, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors TNN and KSS managed the information of the study. Author GR managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/arrb/2024/v39i102134

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123233

Review Article

Received: 09/07/2024
Accepted: 11/09/2024
Published: 17/09/2024

ABSTRACT

Millets, crucial cereal crops in many regions, face significant threats from various insect pests, leading to substantial yield losses. Insect pests such as stem borers, leaf folders, aphids, midges, bugs, caterpillars, and termites inflict damage at different growth stages of millet plants. Stem borers like *Chilo partellus* and *Sesamia inferens* bore into stems, impairing structural integrity, while leaf folders such as *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* create folded leaf shelters for feeding, causing extensive

[++] *Ph.D Scholar;*
**Corresponding author: E-mail: vikkyvirat4@gmail.com;*

Cite as: *Reddy, K. S. Nikhil, G. Ranjitha, T. N. Nagarjuna, and K. S. Shalini. 2024. "Understanding the Impact: Insect Pests of Millets and Their Consequences on Yield Loss". Annual Research & Review in Biology 39 (10):1-10. https://doi.org/10.9734/arrb/2024/v39i102134.*

tissue damage. Aphids such as *Rhopalosiphum maidis* and shoot bugs like *Peregrinus maidis* extract sap, resulting in wilting and stunting of plants. Millet midges like *Geromyia penniseti* and *Stenodiplosis sorghicola* target reproductive structures, leading to grain abortion and reduced yield. Earhead pests like *Cryptoblabes gnidiella* and *Helicoverpa armigera* feed on developing grains, causing significant losses in quality and quantity. Additionally, termites like Od*ontotermes* spp. and *Microtermes* spp. damage roots and stems, disrupting nutrient and water uptake causing plant death. Understanding the biology and ecology and implementing appropriate pest management strategies are crucial for mitigating yield losses and ensuring millet production sustainability.

Keywords: Millets; stem borers; earhead pests; sucking pests; yield loss.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, millets have emerged as a nutritional powerhouse and a sustainable solution to global food security challenges. As staple crops in many parts of the world, millets are valued for higher nutritional content, and low water and input requirements. Recognizing their significance, the United Nations declared 2023 as the International Year of Millets, shedding light on their importance in achieving food security, nutrition, and sustainable agriculture. However, alongside their growing popularity, millets face a persistent threat from various pests and insects. Approximately 150 insect species have been documented to inflict harm on millet crops worldwide, with 116 of these species identified within India alone [1]. Insects that feed on various parts of the plant during different growth stages contribute to economic losses by reducing crop productivity, compromising seed quality, and diminishing silage harvest [2]. The vulnerability of millet crops to pest attacks underscores the urgent need for effective pest management strategies to safeguard this vital food source. Understanding the dynamics of pest infestation in millets is crucial for devising sustainable solutions that ensure the continued productivity and resilience of millet agriculture.

2. PESTS ATTACKING MILLETS

A greater number of pests attack millets include shoot flies, borers, foliage feeders, sucking pests, earhead feeders and soil dwelling insects posing a great threat in millet production. These pests cause an yield loss upto 10-20% [3].

2.1 Shoot Fly

Shoot fly, *Atherigona* sp. injure a range of cereals including millets in Africa and Asia [4-7]. The shoot fly species attacking different millet types include, Jowar, Ragi *i.e., Atherigona soccata* Rondani, Pearl millet: *A. approximata* Malloch, Proso millet, Kodo millet: *A. simplex* Thompson, Fox tail millet: *A. atripalpis* Malloch, Barnyard millet: *A. falcata* Thompson, Little millet: A. pulla Wiedmann" [8].

"Cultivated sorghum, *Sorghum bicolor* is the most preferred host of sorghum shoot fly, *A. soccata"* [9]. "It attacks the crop both in seedlings and boot leaf stage whose damage is seen from 1-week to 30-days-old seedlings. If the infestation occurs a little later, damaged plants produce side tiller and become infested. The situation leads to dead hearts in young seedlings and chaffy grains in terminal portion of panicle in the mature crop. This pest survives on a wild host *Cynodon dactylon"* [10]. In India, significant losses of >35% in common millet [11] and 39% in little millet [12] have been documented. Similarly, in proso millet, yield losses of 100% and in little millet, losses of 16.6% were observed, with shoot fly infestation at the tillering stage being the primary [13]. In Ethiopia, the tef shoot fly, A. hyalinipennis, has a menace to tef crops, causing damage at both the early growth stage and reproductive stages. Yield losses owing to this fly were estimated at 9% [14] and 20% [15] in two different regions.

2.2 Stem Borer

Spotted stem borer, *Chilo partellus* Swinhoe, Pink stem borer, *Sesamia inferens* Wlk., have been identified as significant borer pests [5] & [16-19]. *Sesamia inferens* specifically affects finger millet crops in India. While pearl millet initially shows resistance to borer attacks during the early stages of growth, it becomes susceptible to internode injuries as the crop matures [20]. *Chilo partellus* infests the crop starting from the second week after sowing and continues until the crop reaches maturity. In younger plants, symptoms of deadhearts appear as a result of early attacks. As the infestation progresses, the larvae penetrate the stem, causing extensive tunnelling within the stem.

During the larval stage, *Sesamia inferens* exhibits gregarious behavior, gathering inside the leaf whorls where they feed on the central leaves, resulting in the characteristic 'pinhole' symptoms that later progress to deadhearts. Attacks during the panicle initiation stage lead to the formation of empty panicles, known as the White ear head symptom. *Chilo paretllus* ought to cause a loss of 55-83% (grain) and 57% (fodder) in India [21].

"In Africa, pearl millet encounters various pest challenges, including borer (Acigona ignefusalis), pink stem borer (Sesamia calamistis), sugarcane borer (Eldana saccharina), and maize stalk borer (Busseola fusca)" [22]. "The spotted stem borer (Chilo sacchariphagus) has been identified in mainland China and Taiwan" [23]. A. ignefusalis is the predominant species on pearl millet in Senegal, constituting up to 92% of the total larval stem borer population [24], while S. calamistis and E. saccharina are prevalent in the Ivory Coast [25]. Recent findings suggest a shift in borer populations in Senegal, with S. calamistis emerging as a major species (making up 31–72% of the larval population), followed by A. ignefusalis (16–53%) [26]. Likewise, B. fusca, traditionally confined to eastern Africa, has extended its range to western regions of the continent [26]. "In the Ivory Coast, there was significant avoidable losses (49–52%) in cv. VPP-1 due to attacks by a stem borer complex [25]. The millet stem borer, *Coniesta ignefusalis* was also seen attacking sorghum and maize in millet belts of northern Ghana during 1996 and 1997 with higher incidence in Sudhan savannah" [27]. "This was more abundant in millets followed by sorghum and stalks of cereals as they provide refuge for diapause larvae and thus contribute to population carryover from one season to another. Hence, the proper management of cereal stalk and stubble after harvest could help reduce the population carryover. Artificial infestations with 5 and 10 larvae per plant at 2 weeks after plant emergence (WAE) resulted in 50 to 70% plants with deadhearts and 24 to 100% avoidable yield loss" [28].

Another stem borer *Saluria inficita* Walker also attacks finger millet [23]. The infestation usually seen by the base of the tillers very close to soil. Larva bore the stem at the ground level and feed on internal tissues and cause dead heart symptom in the early stage. However, incidence more common in early stage of the crop.

2.3 Foliage Feeders

These include red and black hairy caterpillar, cutworms and armyworms, grasshopper, ash weevils, leaf folder, flea beetle.

"The Red hairy caterpillar (RHC), *Amsacta albistriga*, lays eggs in clusters either foliage or on the soil. After hatching in approximately 3-4 days, the larvae begin to feed voraciously in a group, leading to the complete defoliation of plants" [29,30]. "Within about 10 days, the larvae gradually spread from plant to plant, continuing their voracious feeding before migrating to other fields. *Amsacta moorei*, also causes defoliation" [31]. On the other hand, *Estigmene lactinea*, deposits white-colored eggs on the leaf surface. These eggs hatch into black hairy larvae that scrape the green matter from young leaves as they feed, causing damage.

Cutworms, such as *Agrotis ipsilon*, inflict damage to sorghum plants by severing seedlings at or just below the soil surface, causing the affected plants to wither and eventually lodge. *Mythimna separata* and *M. albistigma* contribute to defoliation in finger millet, where their larvae primarily consume leaves, particularly in nursery settings, resulting in the skeletonization of foliage. Initially, the young cutworms feed on plants without severing stems or leaves, but later progress to cutting off foliage and panicles. They primarily emerge during the dark periods to feed on the roots and shoots of ragi plants, concealing themselves in the soil during the daytime. As a result of their feeding habits, the affected field may resemble grazing by cattle. *M. separata* in India [32] have been known to cause extensive ragging and crop failure. *Spodoptera exigua* larva is a serious pest of ragi nurseries feeding on leaves causing extensive defoliation [32].

"In India, grasshoppers viz., *Hieroglyphus nigrorepletus* Bol., *H. banian* Fb., *Chrotogonus* spp., *Colemania sphenerоides* Bol. are destructive to all millet crops" [3] & [29]. Deep ploughing soon after harvest, dusting of insecticides in target areas, coupled with gathering and destruction of grasshoppers are effective measures. The nymphs and adults feed on the leaf causing marginal notchings or holes on the leaves. In case of severe infestation, they defoliate entire leaves.

Ash weevil, *Myllocerus undecimpunctulatus maculosus*, causes damage to sorghum by feeding on the foliage and under severe

infestations the entire leaf blade is eaten up leaving only the midribs [33]. “Adults of *Myllocerus maculosus* (Desb), *M. viridanus* (Fab), *M. subfasciatus* Guerin-Meneville & *M. discolor* Boheman deposits light yellow eggs in the soil which hatch and produce grubs that attacks roots resulting in wilting of plants in patches and adult feeds on leaf blade causing notching on leaves. Flea Beetle *Chaetocnema Pusaensis*, found to be attacking on Finger millet and causing holes in the leaves and also affecting the vigour of young plants” [34].

Leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* Guenee, attacks rice, finger millet, and pearl millet crops. Its larvae exhibit a distinctive behavior of removing leaf tissues, folding leaf blades together, and securing them with silk strands. Within these folded leaves, the larvae feed, resulting in the formation of longitudinal whitish patches on the leaf blade.

2.4 Sucking Pests

“Both nymphs and adult of aphids, *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch, shoot bugs, *Peregrinus maidis* Ashm., plant bugs, *Aspavia armigera* T., *Callidea* spp., and chinch bugs, *Blissus leucopterus leucopterus* Say, suck sap from young leaves and the whorl, leading to distortion, yellowing, and wilting of plants, ultimately resulting in shriveled chaffy grains” [29] & [35]. “While older plants may tolerate the damage to some extent, certain species of sucking insects also serve as vectors for viruses. *Peregrinus maidis*, for example, transmits MStrV and MMV to sorghum, maize, and Itch grass” (Rottboellia exaltata) [36].

Sugarcane aphid, *Melanaphis sacchari* and Plum/ Ragi aphid, *Hysteroneura setariae* also found attacking ragi, sorghum. Both nymphs and adult sugarcane aphids feed by sucking sap from foliage. This feeding activity causes leaves to yellow, and in cases of severe infestation, plants become stunted and leaves dry out. Aphids excrete honeydew, leading to the development of sooty mold on leaves, which then turn black. The presence of honeydew inhibits the harvesting process and results in grains of poor quality. Conversely, adults and nymphs of plum aphids suck sap from spikelets, spreading throughout entire plant and causing stunted growth with reduced vigor.

Ragi root aphid: *Tetraneura nigroabdominalis* Sasaki, which occurs occasionally during ragi cultivation in Southern India. The infestation begins in the root system and persists until flowering. Aphids suck plant sap, resulting in a withered and stunted appearance of the crop. Ants are commonly found at the base of affected plants, and upon uprooting, aphid colonies become visible [34].

The spider mite *Oligonychus indicus* is a non-insect pest that feeds on sorghum, maize, rice, millets, and other members of the Poaceae family. Both female and immature stages of this pest feed on foliage, with the highest population occurring after panicle emergence. They extract plant sap from the under surface of functional leaves, initially they appear pale yellow before transitioning to reddish or brownish tan. Heavily infested leaves exhibit dense webbing on the underside, and in sometimes, they attack and web the sorghum panicle.

2.5 Earhead Feeders

In West Africa, five species of millet midges: *Geromyia penniseti* Felt., *Contarinia sorghii* Harris, Las*iop tera* sp., *Lestodiplosis* sp., and *Stenodiplosis* sp. [37] are reported. *G. penniseti* is of economic significance, particularly affecting late millets in savanna regions of Africa and South India [38,39]. “Larval feeding disrupts normal seed development, leading to either complete or partial spike abortion thus, resulting in harvest sufferers of up to 90%” [38]. “The sorghum midge, *Stenodiplosis sorghicola*, survives only on the members of the genus Sorghum” [40,41].

The Sorghum midge (*Stenodiplosis sorghicola*) and Pearl millet midge (*Geromyia penniseti*) are known to infest Sorghum, Pearl millet, and wild graminaceous hosts. The adult flies lay eggs within the florets, giving rise to dark orange-colored maggots that begin attacking the developing grains or damaging the ovaries, resulting in chaffy panicles. An important symptom is the presence of white pupal cases protruding on the chaffy grains, along with exit holes. The Earhead Bug, *Calocoris angustatus*, lays blue, cigar-shaped eggs either on glumes or within the middle of the florets. Both adults and nymphs cause damage to the earheads by feeding on them. They extract sap from the grains during the milky stage, leading to infested grains shrinking, turning black, and becoming chaffy or ill-filled [34].

The occurrence of the millet head miner, Heliocheilus albipunctalla, a significant pest that

endangers pearl millet farming in the Sahelian region of West Africa [42].

The Ear head web worm, *Cryptoblabes gnidiella*, initiates the production of silken webs both on and inside the ear head during its larval stage. Heavily infested heads may be entirely covered in webbing. The larvae feed on maturing grains and create shelter by constructing small dome-shaped or elongated galleries using anthers and silk. On the other hand, the Gram caterpillar, *Helicoverpa armigera*, emerges on earheads and consumes grains, resulting in partially eaten and chalky appearing heads. Faecal pellets are evident within the ear head. In 2016, elevated larval populations of *H. armigera* per square meter were documented in foxtail millet (15.0), barnyard millet (12.0), Kodo millet (5.0), and Finger millet (5.0) at the grain maturity stage. The impact of *H. armigera* resulted in a yield loss of 7.9% in foxtail millet, while yield losses of 8.5% and 5.1% in barnyard millet were primarily attributed to H. armigera during 2016 and 2017, respectively [13]. In India, the population of *H. armigera* on pearl millet can be managed by the larval parasitoid, *Campoletis chlorideae* Uchida [43]. Additionally, the larva of the tussock caterpillar, *Euproctes subnotata*, feeds on developing grains and destroys them in panicles during the milky or soft dough stage, with compact panicles being particularly vulnerable to attack.

2.6 Soil Dwelling Insects

“Several species of white grubs *Hototrichia* and *Anomala* have been reported. *H. consanguinea* Blanch has devasted pearl millet crop in large areas in central India” [29]. “In arid and semi-arid regions, the feeding damage caused by white grubs to pearl millet roots can be substantial” [44]. “The white grubs, *Holotrichia serrata* and *Lachnosterna consanguinea*, sporadically gain the serious pest status in India. The grubs feed on the roots of seedlings as well as older plants. The infested plants wither and wilt in patches. Wire worms also cause similar damages in sorghum seedlings” [23]. “In sandy regions, termites such as *Odontotermes* spp. and *Microtermes* spp. cause damage by either damaging roots or creating burrows through stems” [35]. These termites are also known to target the roots of maize and sorghum, causing affected plants to collapse. Their activity disrupts the flow of nutrients and water through the plant's vascular system, ultimately leading to plant death.

3. PEST MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES IN MILLETS

3.1 Shoot Fly

“A common practice to control *A. approximata* is by a presowing soil application of granular formulations of disulfoton, phorate, aldicarb at 3 kg a.i./ha or sprays of 0-1 % carbaryl or phosphamidon or 0-04% endosulfan” [10] & [45-50]. Seed treatment with carbofuran (0-4— 0-5 kg a.i./ha) may be effective. Implement synchronous and early sowings of cultivars with comparable maturity to mitigate the detrimental effects of shoot fly, midge, and head bugs. Employ a higher seed rate (1.5 times more) and postpone thinning operations to uphold an optimal plant stand, thus reducing shoot fly damage. In India, pearl millet is consistently plagued by sorghum shoot fly, *Atherigona approximata* Malloch. TNAU and research scientists (RS) have outlined a series of management strategies for controlling pearl millet shoot fly (see Table 1).

3.2 Sucking Pests

Resistant cultivars have reduced chinch bug infestation on pearl millet [51]. Treat the seeds with Thiamethoxam 70 WS @ 3 g /1 kg of seeds to improve plant stand, seedling vigor and reduce the damage by shoot fly and to some extent stem borer and sucking pest. Apply Dimethoate 30% EC @1.5 ml/liter for sucking pests like shoot bug, aphids. For mite control apply Dicofol 18.5% EC @ 2 ml / liter. Nymphs and adults of ragi root aphid are parasitized by a small braconid wasp and a mermithid nematode and are preyed upon by lady beetles [52].

3.3 Stem Borers

Scientists conducted an assessment of 33 genotypes to evaluate their resistance against pink stem borer by monitoring the dead heart infestations 45 days post-planting [53]. An infestation rate of up to 20% was observed in GPV-93, while 18 other remained unaffected by pest attacks. In Mali,a comparison was made on the effectiveness of three applications of NSKE (3%) at a rate of 500 liters per hectare against millet stem borer, with cypermethrin 25EC at 250 ml per hectare [54]. Both the NSKE and cypermethrin treatments exhibited reduced stem infestation rates (11%) compared to untreated fields (19%). Additionally, the application of Cypermethrin ULV at 50% male

Table 1. Management strategies for controlling pearl millet shoot fly

TNAU [8]	RS [50]
Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70WS at 10 g/kg	Resistant Cultivars: MP16, MP19, MP53, MP67, MH9, MH49, MH52, MH82, MH99, MH105
Eliminate and dispose of plants affected by dead heart infestation	Increase seed rate by 20–30%
Install plastic meal traps treated with insecticide (30 traps/ha)	Avoid staggered plantings
Apply 5% neem seed kernel extract at 500 liters/ha	Eliminate and dispose of plants affected by dead heart infestation

flowering (at 36 g a.i./ha) significantly decreased the rate of stem attacks [55]. Managing borer populations can be achieved through field sanitation practices, including the collection and destruction of stubble and weeds after crop harvest, as well as removing dead hearts [29] & [56]. Various parasitoids and predators identified in India on *Sesamia* spp. and *C. partellus* life stages [3] & [57,58] may play a vital role in decreasing peak borer incidence. Carbofuran 3G granules may be applied in the whorls at 8–12 kg ai/ha, or the entire field can be sprayed with Metasystox at 2 ml/liter.

3.4 Earhead Feeders

Ploughing fields to depths of 15–25 cm during April–June to expose pupae to desiccation and predators resulted in a mortality rate of 20% for pupae [24]. Several characteristics such as compact heads, small involucral bristles, small floral peduncles have been associated with resistance to earhead pests [59,60]. In Sudan, applying triple superphosphate at 20 kg/ha improved plant growth and reduced pest infestation by 27–36% due to a shortened period from planting to heading [61]. The augmentation of *Bracon hebetor* was also explored for releases. A technique involving jute bags filled with grains, millet flour, larvae of *Corcyra* and mated females of B*. hebetor* where these bags were hung from trees in field sites spaced 3 km apart [62]. Parasitoids multiplied within the bags, exited through the jute mesh, and dispersed into millet fields. By employing this method, pest mortality rates of about 97% were achieved in fields covering over 3 million hectares across 500 villages in Mali, Burkina Faso, and Niger [63]. Overall, the releases of *B. hebetor* resulted in a 34% increase in grain yield compared to control fields [64]. The crop may be sprayed at the 50% flowering stage (1 midge/panicle) with cypermethrin 25 EC @ 0.5 ml/liter. Carbaryl 10% dust @20 – 25 kg/ha at 50% flowering & grain formation stage is also suggested in midge endemic areas. During the peak midge incidence, the parasitoid wasp Tetrastichus sp. and the predatory bug Orius sp. are plentiful [38].

3.5 Foliage Feeders

Cutworms (*Mythmina, Spodoptera*), red hairy caterpillars, semilooper are efficiently controlled by poison baits comprising 10 kg rice bran + 1 kg jaggery + one liter Quinolphos (25 % EC). Prepare small balls and broadcast in the fields preferably in the evening. Major natural mortality factors impacting grasshoppers involve the initiation of the rainy season and parasitism by two natural enemies during the egg/first instar stage: the tenebrionid beetle Pimelia senegalensis Olivier and a Eurombidium sp. mite, leading to mortality rates of 40% and 51%, respectively [55]. Additionally, the application of three entomopathogenic fungi—B. bassiana, M. anisopliae, and Nosema locustae Canning—significantly contributed to mortality [65]. Effective measures to control grasshopper populations involve deep ploughing soon after harvest, targeted dusting of insecticides, and the collection and destruction of grasshoppers [54].

3.6 Soil Dwelling Insects

Seed treatment with Imidacloprid 600FS @ 10-12 ml/kg seeds is very much suited for controlling white grubs. This treatment has been demonstrated to decrease seedling mortality from 28.6% to 2.1% and to double grain yield from 12.30 to 27.52 q/ha [44]. Another highly effective treatment involves treating seeds with clothianidin 50WDG at a rate of 10 g/kg. Similarly soil drenching with imidacloprid 17.8SL at 300 ml/ha also shown effectiveness against white grubs [23]. Chlorpyriphos dust with FYM can also be applied in furrows at 2:3 ratio. In areas with regular termite occurrences, the soil should be mixed with Chlorpyriphos 5% Dust at 35 kg/ha by

the time of sowing. When the pest incidence is observed in standing crops, mix Chlorpyriphos 20% EC at 4 L/ha with 50 kg of soil and evenly broadcast it over 1 ha followed by light irrigation.

4. CONCLUSION

millets stand out as a vital crop for addressing global food security due to their nutritional benefits and sustainability. However, their production is increasingly threatened by a diverse array of pests, including shoot flies, stem borers, foliage feeders, sucking pests, earhead feeders, and soil-dwelling insects. These pests can lead to significant yield losses, impacting the economic viability of millet cultivation. Effective pest management strategies are essential for safeguarding millet crops. Integrated approaches, including the use of resistant cultivars, judicious application of insecticides, biological control agents, and cultural practices, are crucial for mitigating pest damage. Continued research and adaptation of pest management practices will be vital in ensuring the resilience and productivity of millet agriculture, thus contributing to global food security and sustainable agricultural practices.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author highly appreciates the support from his parents and Indian Institute of Millet research, Hyderabad for inspiring to write an article about the insect pest of millets.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kishore P. Evolving management strategies for pests of millets in India. Journal of Entomology Reserach. 1996:27: 357–360.
2. Arun Kumar D, Channaveerswami AS. Pre and post emergence control measures for shoot fly incidence and its influence on seed yield of little millet (*Panicum sumatrense*). Journal of Experimental Zoology India. 2015:18:811–814.
3. Gahukar RT, Jotwani MG. Present status of field pests of sorghum and millets in India. Tropical Pest Management. 1980:26: 138-151.
4. Deeming JC. Some species of Atherigona Rondani (Diptera: Muscidae) from northern Nigeria, with special reference to those injurious to cereal crops. Bulletin of Entomological Research. 1971:61:133-190.
5. Breniere J. Sorghum shoot fly in West Africa. In Control of Sorghum Shoot Fly, (MG Jotwani, WR Young Eds) New Delh. Oxford and IBH. 1972:129-136.
6. Bonzi M, Gahukar RT. Répartition de la population d'Atherigona soccata Rondani (Diptère: Muscidae) et des éspeces alliées pendant la saison pluvieuse en Haute Volta. Agronomie Tropicale. 1983:38:331-334.
7. Gahukar RT. Some species of Atherigona (Diptera: Muscidae) reared from Gramineae in Senegal. Annals of Applied Biology. 1985:106:399-403.
8. Anonymous, Pests of millets; ISBN: 81-89335-60-x COPYRIGHT © 2016 ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research. Accessed on 2 August 2024. 2016a.
9. Davies JC, Seshu Reddy KV. Shoot fly species and their graminaceous hosts in Andhra Pradesh, India. Insect Science App. 1981:2:33–37.
10. Talati GM, Upadhyay VR. Status of shoot fly, Atherigona approximata (Malloch) as a pest of bajra (Pennisetum typhoïdes) crops in Gujarat state. Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal. 1978:4:30-35.
11. Natarajan VS, Selvaraj S, Raghupathy A. Assessment of loss in grain yield caused by shoot fly, Atherigona destructor M, (Anthomyiidae: Diptera) in certain varieties of Panivarugu Panicum miliaceum. Scientific Culture. 1974:40:502-504.
12. Selvaraj S, Natarajan VS, Raghupathy A. On the occurrence of shoot fly and its damage in some varieties of little millet. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1974:44: 556-557.
13. Kamakshi N, Pullaibai P, Devi VS, Sharma ASR, Padmalatha Y. Seasonal incidence and estimation of yield losses due to insect pest in small millets at scarce rain fall zone

of Andhra Pradesh. Journal of Entomolgy Zoology Study. 2021:9(2):464-467.
14. Mideksa AM, Negeri T, Shiberu. Management of tef shoot fly, Atherigona hyalinipennis (Reg.) (Diptera: Muscidae) on tef at Ambo, West Showa of Ethiopia. Journal of Entomology Nematology. 2014: 6:134–139.
15. Bayeh MJ, Tafa M, Yeshaltela D, Asmare W, Biruk. Review of research outcomes of insect pests of economic importance to major small cereals. In A. Tadesse (ed.), Increasing crop production through improving plant protection. Plant Protection Association of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 2008:325–374.
16. Tams WH, Bowden TJ. A revision of the African species of Sesamia Guenée and related genera (Agrotidae-Lepidoptera). Bulletin Entomology Reserach. 1953:43 (4):645-678
17. Jepson WF. A Critical Review of the World Literature on the Lepidopterous Stalk Borers of Tropical Graminaceous Crops; 1954.
18. Ingram WE. The lepidopterous stalk borers associated with Gramineae in Uganda. Bulletin Entomology Research. 1958:49(2): 367-383.
19. Harris KM. Lepidopterous stem borers of cereals in Nigeria. Bulletin Entomology Research. 1962:53(1):139-171
20. Ahmed SM, Young WR. Field observations on the susceptibility of sorghum, maize and bajra to Chilo zonellus (Swinhoe). Indian Journal of Entomology. 1969:31:32-35.
21. Jotwani MG, Dinesa Chandra, Young WR, Sukhani TR, Saxena PN. Estimation of avoidable loss caused by the insect complex on sorghum hybrid CSH-1 and percentage increase in yield over untreated control. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1971:33:375-383.
22. Nwanze KF, Harris KM. Insect pests of pearl millet in West Africa. Review Agricultural Entomology. 1992:80:1132–1185.
23. Kalaisekar A, Padmaja PG, Insect pests of millets and their host plant relations. Millets and sorghum: biology and genetic improvement. 2017:267-290.
24. Gahukar RT. Sampling techniques, spatial distribution and cultural control of millet spike worm, Raghuva albipunctella (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera). Annals of Applied Biology. 1990:117:45–50.
25. Bekoye BM, Dadie A. Evaluation despertes en grains de mil dues aux insectes. European Scientific Journal. 2015:11:266–275.
26. Goudiaby MP, Sarr I, Sembene M. Source of resistance in pearl millet varieties against stem borers and earhead miner. Journal of Entomology Zoology Study. 2018:6:1702–1708.
27. Tanzubil PB, Mensah GWK. Incidence and distribution of the stem borer, Coniesta ignefusalis (Hampson), (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), in cereal crops in northern Ghana. Ghana. Journal of Agri culatural Science. 2000:33(1)-1885.
28. Drame-Yaye A, Youm O, Ayertey JN. Assessment of grain yield losses in pearl millet due to the millet stemborer, Coniesta ignefusalis (Hampson). International Journal of Tropical Insect Science. 2003;23 (3):259-265.
29. Jotwani MG, Butani DK. Crop pests and their control, pearlmillet. Pesticides. 1978:12:20–30.
30. Verma SK. Field efficiency of insecticides and antifeedants against advanced stage larvae of Amsacta moorei Butler. Annals of arid zone; 1981
31. Srivastava AS, Goel GP. Bionomics and control of red hairy caterpillar (Amsacta moorei). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of India, Section B. 1962:32(2):97–100.
32. Balasubramanian R, Seshu Reddy KV, Govinda R, Deviah MA. New record of armyworm, Pseudaletia separata Walker (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) as a pest of ragi in India. JBNHS. 1975:72:588-589.
33. Kishore P, Srivastava KP. Occurrence of cotton grey weevil as a serious pest of sorghum. Entomologists' Newsletter. 1976: 6(3):30–31.
34. Chava NR, Nebapure SM, Thakur S. Pests and Diseases of Millets. 2023:3.
35. Gahukar RT. Insect pests of pearl millet in West Africa. A review Tropical Pest Management. 1984:30:142-147.
36. Tsai JH. Falk BW. Tropical maize pathogens and their associated insect vectors. In: Harris K.F. (Ed.)., Advances in Disease Vector Research. 1988:177–201.
37. Coutin R, Harris KM, Biologie de Contarinia sorghi (Harris) comb. nov. sur le mil au Sénégal. (Dipt., Cecidomy iidae). Annale de Société Entomologique de France. 1974;10:457 465.

38. Coutin R, Harris KM. The taxonomy, distribution, biology and economic importance of the millet grain midge, Geromyia penniseti (Felt.) gen. n. comb. n. (Dipt., Cecidomyiidae). Bulletein of Entomological Research. 1968:59:259–273.
39. Santharam G, Mohanasundaram M, Jayraj S. Control of bajra grain midge, Geromyia penniseti Felt, with insecticides. Pesticides. 1976:10:45-46.
40. Harris KM. Descriptions and host ranges of the sorghum midge, Contarinia sorghicola (Coquillett) (Diptera. Cecidomyiidae), and of eleven new species of Contarinia reared from Gramineae and Cyperaceae in Australia. Bulletin Entomology Research. 1979:69:161–182.
41. Franzmann BA, Hardy AT. Testing the host status of Australian indigenous sorghums for the sorghum midge. In: Proceedings Third Australian Sorghum Conference, Tamworth, Occasional Publication No. 1996:93:365–367.
42. Gahukar RT, Ba MN. An updated review of research on Heliocheilus albipunctela (*Lepidoptera: Noctuidae*), in Sahelian West Africa. Journal of International Pest Management. 2019:10-3.
43. Pawar CS, Bhatnagar VS, Jadhav DR. Heliothis species and their natural enemies, with their potential for biological control. Proceedings Indian Academy of Sciences (Animal Science). 1986:695-703.
44. Choudhary SK, Tandi BL, Singh S. Management of white grub, Holotrichia consanguinea Blanchard in pearl millet. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2018:80: 619–622.
45. Gahukar RT. Insect pests of millets and their management. A review International Journal Pest Management. 1989:35(4): 382-391.
46. Jotwani MG, Singh VS. Bajra shoot fly becoming a major pest. Entomologist's Newsletter. 1971: 1- 38.
47. Singh VS, Jotwani MG. Efficacy of some systemic insecticides against the bajra shoot fly, Atherigona approximata Malloch. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1973:35: 130-133.
48. Raghupathy A, Balasubramanian M. Damage potential of shoot fly, Atherigona approximata Malloch on pearl millet, Pennisetum typhoïdes Stapf. & Hubb. Entomon. 1978:3:189-192.
49. Anonymous, TNAU. AgriTech portal. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. Accessed on 28/08/ 2024. 2016b.
50. Biradar A, Sajjan S. Management of shoot fly in major cereal crops. IJPAB. 2018:6: 971–975.
51. Starks KJ, Cassady AJ, Merkle OG, Boozaya Angoon D. Chinch bug resistance in pearl millet. Journal of Economy Entomolgy. 1962:75:337-339.
52. Samraj JM. Management of Insect Pests in Millets; 2023.
53. Sasmal A. Screening of finger millet varieties against major insect pests at Odisha. Journal of Crop Weed. 2015:11: 227–228.
54. Passerini J. Field and lab trials in Mali to determine the effects of neem extracts on the millet pests: Heliocheilus albipunctella De Joannis (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), Coniesta igneusalis Hampson (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) and Kraussaria angulifera Krauss (Orthoptera: Acrididae). Department of Entomology, McGill University, Montreal, Canada; 1991.
55. Jago ND, Kremer R, West C. Pesticides on millet in Mali. NRI Bulletin no. 50. University of Greenwich, Chatham Maritime, United Kingdom. 1993:52.
56. Sagnia SB. Possible integrated pest management tools for the effective control of cereal stem borers in the Gambia. Insect Science app. 1983:4:217-219.
57. Sharma AK, Saxena JD, Subbarao BR. A catalogue of the hymenopterous and dipterous parasites of Chilo zonellus (Swinhoe) (Crambidae: Lepidoptera). Indian Journal Entomology. 1966:28:510-542.
58. Singh G, Sandhu GS. New record of predatory beetles on *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe). Current Science. 1977:46-422.
59. Guevremont H. Recherche sur l'entomofaune du mil. Rapport Annuel de Recherches pour l'annee 1982. Centre National de Recherches Agronomiques de Tarna, (CNRAT), Maradi, Niger; 1983.
60. Gahukar RT, Bos WS, Bhatnagar VS, E Dieme E, Bal AR, Fytizas E. Acquis recents en entomologie du mil au Senegal. Rapport, Reunion d'evaluation du programme mil-sorgho du. Institut Senegalais de Recherches Agronomiques (ISRA), Bambay, Senegal. 1986;29.

61. Hughes D, Rhind D. Control of the millet head worm in the western Sudan. International Journal Pest Management. 1988:34:346–348.
62. Ba MN, Baoua IB, Kabore A, Oumarou N, Dabire-Binso C, Sanon A. Augmentative on-farm delivery methods for the parasitoid, Habrobracon hebetor Say (*Hymenoptera: Braconidae*) in Burkina Faso and Niger. Biocontrol. 2014:59:689–696.
63. Ba MN, Baoua IB, Diaye MN, Dabire-Binso C, Sanon A, Tamo M. Biological control of the millet head borer, Heliochilius albipunctalla in the Sahelian region by augmentative releases of the parasitoid wasp, Habrobracon hebetor effectiveness farmers' perceptions. Phytoparasitica. 2013:41:569–576.
64. Baoua IB, Ba MN, Amadou I, Oumarou N, Payne W, Roberts JD, Stefanova K, Nansen C. Estimating effect of augmentative biological control on grain yields from individual pearl millet fields. Journal of Applied Entomology. 2014: 138:281–288.
65. Maiga IH, Lecoq M, Kooyman C. Ecology and management of the Senegalese grasshopper, Oedaleus senegalensis (Krauss 1877) (Orthoptera: Acrididae) in West Africa: review and prospects. Annales Societe Entomologique de France. 2008:44:271–288.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123233