



Performance of Rosy Adenium (*Adenium obesum*) Genotype under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Conditions

Silvia R Varghese ^{a++*} and Urfi Fatmi ^{b#}

^a SHUATS, India.

^b Department of Horticulture, SHUATS. India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i84832>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120025>

Original Research Article

Received: 10/05/2024

Accepted: 13/07/2024

Published: 16/07/2024

ABSTRACT

Aim: The experiment was conducted in the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Prayagraj, during 2023-2024.

Study Design: The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD).

Methodology: 10 Rosy adenium genotypes and each genotype was replicated 3 times. The different genotypes used in the experiment were R4, R5, R23, R33, R35, R53, R73, R124, R163, R169.

Results: The result obtained showed that the genotype R73 showed significantly higher performance in parameters like plant height, caudex diameter, graft length, graft diameter, days taken to bud initiation, number of petals per flower, flower diameter, self-life and which was found to be at par with genotype R33 in plant height, caudex diameter, graft length, graft diameter, days taken to bud initiation, number of petals per flower, flower diameter, self-life.

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: silviavarghese2000@gmail.com;

Cite as: Varghese, Silvia R, and Urfi Fatmi. 2024. "Performance of Rosy Adenium (*Adenium Obesum*) Genotype under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Conditions". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 36 (8):36-40. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i84832>.

Conclusion: Hence, genotype R73 and R33 is excellent in terms of performance and plant growth under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions.

Keywords: Rosy adenium; genotype; caudex; self-life.

1. INTRODUCTION

"*Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. & Schult., a succulent plant commonly known as desert rose belongs to the dogbane family *Apocynaceae*, is native from African countries such as Ethiopia, Kenya, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan and Tanzania, also found in Oman, Saudi Arabia and Yemen as wild plant" [1,2] Owing to its beautiful sculptural caudex, good branching habit and tolerance to drought stress. its market demand is increasing [3-19]. "Rosy adenium is one of the popular ornamental plants and now cultivated in many humid and tropical countries such as India, Philippines and Thailand, with great relevance in the ornamental market due to its wide range of flower colour among cultivars" [20,21,22,23]. "It is known for its distinctive caudex, vibrant trumpet-shaped flowers, and resilience in harsh conditions, *Adenium obesum* holds both aesthetic and ecological importance. Its caudex serves as a water reservoir, enabling survival during drought, while its flowers attract pollinators vital for ecosystem biodiversity [24,25]. The numerous hybrids are propagated mainly by grafting on to seedling rootstock. The flower consists of five petals of red and pink colour, the flowers have no fragrance. The flowers bloom throughout the year, particularly in summer when the whole plant is covered with flowers. The leaves crowd together at the end of the branches. The plant has a tendency to lose most of the leaves in winter, especially in a cool environment" [11]. To ensure the performance new genotypes has been evolved which need to

be evaluated for their performance in different regions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation entitled Performance of rosy adenium (*Adenium obesum*) genotypes under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, during September 2023- May 2024. The genotypes were planted in earthen pots. There were total number of 120 genotypes consisting of 10 varieties viz. R4, R5, R23, R33, R35, R53, R73, R124, R163, R169. The experiment was laid out in Randomized complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. One year old grafted adenium genotypes were procured from Mondal Nursery, Kolkata, West Bengal. The recommended cultural practices were followed for raising the crop. The average values from the samples of each genotype in every replication were worked out and results were used to evaluate the performance of different genotypes on various growth and flowering parameters.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Vegetative Parameters

Among the vegetative parameters studied, significant variations were observed among 10 rosy adenium genotypes and the data are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Vegetative parameters of different rosy adenium genotypes

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)	Caudex diameter (cm)	Graft diameter (cm)	Graft length (cm)
R4	22.1	3.6	2.3	5.6
R5	24.4	4.6	3.5	10.0
R23	22.5	3.8	2.4	7.3
R33	25.6	5.6	4.2	12.4
R35	23.6	4.1	3.1	10.4
R53	24.6	4.8	3.7	11.0
R73	25.8	5.8	4.7	12.7
R124	24.8	5.1	3.8	11.4
R163	23.3	4.0	3.0	7.4
R169	25.3	5.2	4.0	12.2
F-Test	S	S	S	S
SE(d) ±	0.553	0.444	0.305	0.383
CD_{0.05}	1.161	0.932	0.64	0.804
CV(%)	2.789	11.482	10.596	4.6

Table 2. Flower parameters of different rosy adenium genotypes

Genotypes	Days taken to bud initiation(days)	Number of petals per flower	Flower diameter (cm)	Self life
R4	120.9	5.1	4.9	4.5
R5	118.7	8.3	7.0	5.4
R23	120.0	5.5	5.4	4.9
R33	116.6	10.8	8.4	6.2
R35	119.0	7.8	6.6	5.3
R53	117.8	8.8	7.2	5.6
R73	115.8	10.9	8.9	6.2
R124	117.5	9.4	7.4	6.0
R163	119.2	5.7	5.4	5.0
R169	116.5	10.7	8.2	6.1
F-Test	S	S	S	S
SE(d) ±	0.593	0.332	0.396	0.246
CD _{0.05}	1.246	0.698	0.831	0.517
CV(%)	0.614	4.882	6.937	5.407

“Significantly, taller plant height (25.83cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73), which was found to be at par with genotype G4(R33, 25.69cm), while lesser plant height (22.19cm) was observed in the genotype G1(R4). Variation in plant height could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded” in adenium by [26,18,22]. Significantly, higher caudex diameter(5.8cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype of rosy adenium G4(R33, 5.6cm), while lesser leaf area (3.4cm) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). “Variation in caudex diameter could be influenced by the parental genotypes, -their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded” in adenium by [27,21]. Significantly, higher graft length (12.7cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype of rosy adenium G4(R33, 12.4cm), while lesser graft length (5.6cm) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). “Variation in graft length (cm) could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium” by [26,18,22]. Significantly, higher graft diameter (4.7cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype of rosy adenium G4(R33, 4.2cm), while lesser graft diameter (2.3cm) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in graft diameter (cm) could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing

period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [26,18,22]

3.2 Flower Parameters

Significantly, lesser days taken to bud initiation (115.8) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype G4(R33, 116.6), while longer days taken to bud initiation (120.9) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in days taken to bud initiation could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [6,17,19]. Significantly, higher Number of petals per flower (10.9) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype G4(R33, 10.8), while lesser Number of petals per flower (5.1) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in Number of petals per flower could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [6,17,19]. Significantly, higher flower diameter (8.9cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype G4(R33, 8.4cm), while lesser flower diameter (4.9cm) was observed in the genotype G1(R4). Variation in flower diameter (cm) could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [6,17,19]. Significantly, higher self-life (6.2) (days) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype of

rosy adenium G4(R33, 6.2), while lesser self-life (4.5)(days) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in self-life (days) could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [6,17,19,28].

4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation, it is concluded that 10 adenium genotypes under study showed significant variation in all the parameters observed. The genotype G7 (R73) reported significantly better performance in parameters like plant height, number of leaves per plant, number of branches, leaf area, caudex diameter, graft diameter, graft length, days taken to bud initiation, days taken to flower opening, number of flower cluster per plant, number of flowers per cluster, number of petals per flower, flower diameter, self-life and blooming duration, which was found to be at par G4 (R33) genotypes in parameters like plant height, number of leaves, caudex diameter, graft diameter, graft length and days to flower opening. Hence, genotypes R73 and R33 are better in terms of performance and plant growth under Prayagraj agro climatic conditions.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

As I submit this thesis, it's only fitting to express my heartfelt gratitude to those who contributed to its realization. My deepest thanks to my thesis advisor, [Advisor's Name], whose expert guidance and unwavering support made this journey possible. Their dedication to nurturing academic excellence inspired me throughout.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Dipak Paul, Karabi Biswas, Sankar Narayan Sinha. Biological Activities of *Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. & Schult; 2016.
2. Roy S, Fatmi U, Mishra SK, Singh R. Effect of pre plant soaking of corms in growth regulators on sprouting, vegetative growth and corm formation in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(5):1135-1138.
3. Fatmi U, Leo SJ. Evaluation of seed germination, establishment, and growth of different black adenium (*Adenium arabicum*) hybrids under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Conditions. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;208-212. ISSN 2320-7035.
4. Hastuti DWI, Suranto S, Setyono P. Variation of morphology, karyotype and protein band pattern of adenium (*Adenium obesum*) varieties. Nusantara Bioscience. 2009;1(2):78-83.
5. Kantamaht K. anchanapoom, Sunisa sunheem, Kamnoon K. anchanapoom. *In vitro* Propagation of *Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. and Schult.; Electronic. 2010;1842-4309. ISSN 0255-965X
6. Kikuchi K, Koizumi M, Ishida N, Hiromi K. Water uptake by dry beans observed by micro- magnetic resonance imaging. Annals of Botony. 2012;98(3):545– 553.
7. Kothari SL, Chandra N. *In vitro* propagation of African marigold. Hort Science. 1984;19:703-705.
8. Ajmal Ali M. Complete chloroplast genome of medicinally important poisonous shrub *Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. & Schult. (*Apocynaceae*). Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources 2020;5(1):568-569.
9. Mclaughlin Garofalo. The Desert Rose, *Adenium obesum*: Nursery Production. Homestead: 2002;(Boletim 66):Miami-Dade, 2.
10. Oyen LPA. *Pterygota macrocarpa* K. Schum. In:Loupe D, Oteng-Amoako AA & Brink M (eds.). plant resources of tropical Africa. Timbers. PROTA Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands / Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands. 2008;7(1):493-497.
11. Rasad FM, Hasbullah NA, Daud NF, Azis NA, Amin MAM, Assim MM. Micropropagation of *Adenium obesum* (Dessert Rose) *In vitro*. In International Conference on Agricultural, Ecological and Medical Sciences. 2015;10-12.
12. Chavan SK, Singh Alka, Barkule SR. Genetic variability studies on *Adenium obesum* forssk roem and schult. Asian Jr.

- of Microbiol. Biotech. Env. Sc. Global Science Publications 2018;20(3):965-969. ISSN-0972-3005.
13. Santos CA, Loureiro GAHDA, Junior GAG, Pereira RA, Sodre GA Seed germination and development of desert rose seedlings on different substrates. *Ciencia Rural*. 2020;50(12):1-7.
 14. Sindhuja M, Singh A, Kapadiya C, Bhandari AJ, Shah HP, Patel AI. Evaluation of adenium genotypes for physio-chemical and flowering characters. *IJCS*. 2020;8(4):3840-3844.
 15. Singh A, Bhandari AJ, Sachin C, Patel NB, Patel AI, Patel BN. Evaluation of *Adenium obesum* for potted ornamentals under soilless growing system. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;2(6):2141-2146.
 16. Singh A, Patel GD, Bhandari AJ, Shah HP. Standardization of grafting technique in *Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. & Schult. *Progressive Horticulture*. 2023;55(1):62-67.
 17. Win AC, Pitann B, Mühlhing KH. Waterlogging events during stem elongation or flowering affect yield of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) but not seed quality. *J. Agron. Crop Sci*. 2012;204:165–174. DOI: 10.1111/jac.12244.
 18. Yousefi B, Tabaei-Aghdai SR, Darvish F, Assareh MH. Flower yield performance and stability of various *Rosa damascena* Mill. landraces under different ecological conditions. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2009 Jul 2;121(3):333-9.
 19. Navas-Lopez JF, León L, Rapoport HF, Moreno-Alías I, Lorite IJ, de la Rosa R. Genotype, environment and their interaction effects on olive tree flowering phenology and flower quality. *Euphytica*. 2019 Nov;215:1-3.
 20. Chavan S, Singh A, Bhandari AJ, Patel BN. Management of potted adeniums. *Floriculture Today*. 2016;21(2): 10-13.
 21. Colombo RC, Favetta V, De Carvalho DU, Da Cruz MA, Roberto SR, De Faria RT. Production of desert rose seedlings in different potting media. *Ornamental Horticulture*. 2017;23(3):250-256.
 22. Dimmit M, Hanson C. The genus adenium in cultivation (*A. obesum* and multiflorum). *Cactus and Succulent Journal*. 2009;63(5): 223-225.
 23. Colombo RC, Favetta V, Silva MAAE, Faria RTD. Substrates and irrigation levels for growing desert rose in pots. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia*. 2018;42(1):69-79.
 24. Brown SH. *Adenium obesum* – A report. Institute of Food and Agricultural Science. 2012;20(2): 10-14.
 25. Hewett EW, Wannakrairoj S. International Forum on Horticultural Product Quality, Bangkok, Thailand.: Acta Horticulturae. 2018;1245:169.
 26. Dimmitt MG. *Adenium: Sculptural elegance, floral extravagance. Scathingly Brilliant Idea*, Tucson, AZ.; 2009.
 27. Chavan SK, Singh A, Barkule S. Genetic variability studies on *Adenium obesum* forsskroem and schult. *Asian. Jr. of Microbiol. Biotech. Env. Sc*. 2018;20(3):965-969.
 28. Kalpana and Urfi Fatmi. (2021) Evaluation of different adenium hybrids in shadenet under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* Vol. 10, Issue 1.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120025>