



# **Effect of Zero Tillage and Different Weed Management Practices in Direct Seeded Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Indo Gangetic Plains: A Review**

**Dharani Dhar Pradhan <sup>a\*</sup>, Sandeep Rawal <sup>b</sup>, Mo Asif <sup>c</sup>,  
Swagat Ranjan Behera <sup>d</sup>, Urmila Panda <sup>a</sup> and Simran <sup>c</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha-751003, India.

<sup>b</sup> Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Yamunanagar, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana-125004, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana-125004, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand-236145, India.

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author DDP conceptualized the study, did data visualization, performed methodology, wrote, reviewed and edited the manuscript. Authors SR and MA performed methodology, wrote, reviewed and edited the manuscript. Authors SRB, UP and Simran did data validation, supervised the study and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62071>

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116827>

**Review Article**

**Received: 10/03/2024**

**Accepted: 14/05/2024**

**Published: 17/05/2024**

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [dpradhan027@gmail.com](mailto:dpradhan027@gmail.com);

## ABSTRACT

Millions of rural and urban residents depend on the rice-wheat cropping system of the Indo-Gangetic plains (IGP) for their food security and means of subsistence. About 18 million hectares (m ha) of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) are rotated across Asia, of which 13.5 m ha are in the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP) of India. This agricultural system's viability is currently in jeopardy due to static yields of both wheat and rice and a decline in total factor productivity. The North West IGP's high input crop culture has allowed weeds to dominate the weed flora, including *P. minor* in wheat and *Echinochloa crus-galli* L. in rice. Farmers in North West India have largely used zero tillage for growing wheat, and recently, farmers in the eastern IGP have done the same. Perennial weeds in the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plain, such *Cynodon dactylon* L. and *Cyperus rotundus* L. can occasionally be a nuisance under zero tillage. As an alternative to puddled transplanted rice, the focus is currently on dry direct-seeded rice and machine transplanting of non-puddled rice. As a result of changes in tillage, crop establishment techniques, water use, and weed control brought on by the switch from transplanted rice to direct seeded rice, weed composition and diversity frequently vary. In nations where DSR is frequently used, weedy rice has become a significant concern. Using the Zero Tillage DSR system, certified seed, cultivars that are weed-competitive, stale seedbed procedures, living mulches, and chemical and biological weed control might change weed-crop competition in the crop's favour. However, more research on emergence characteristics and mulching effects of different crop residues on key weeds under zero tillage, cover cropping, and breeding crops for weed suppression will strengthen nonchemical weed management programs. In this review, we examine the extent of weed infestation, weed flora shift and the non-chemical alternative weed management in DSR.

**Keywords:** Zero tillage; zero- tillage direct seeded rice; conventional tillage; pre-emergence; post-emergence; mulching; land levelling.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

"The largest food-producing region in South Asia is the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP) of India, which spread over 44 million hectares. The states of Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and West Bengal account for the majority of its land area, which is around 76% of India" [50]. "A broad range of meteorological, edaphic, physiographic and socio-economic production characteristics are present in the IGP. The Indian IGP accounts for over 40% of the nation's total cereal production and is dominated by a cereal-based production system. Globally, the human population is increasing at a faster rate and expected to reach 9.8 billion by 2050. The majority of the world's population relies on rice as a staple diet, and Asian nations produce 90% of the world's rice" [51,68]. "To feed this ever-increasing population, it becomes necessary to increase the anticipated food production either through area expansion or productivity enhancement" [26]. "Further, the production potential of available resources is restricted due to declining soil health, lower energy use efficiency, water use efficiency and enhanced greenhouse gases (GHGs) emission under existing production systems" [56, 85]. "Satisfying the growing food demand with limited farm

resources poses an enormous challenge while efficiently utilizing the fresh water supply, energy, and fossil fuel without compromising the ecological sustainability and livelihood security" [4,26].

"Under the rice-wheat cropping system, farmers face major problems such as paddy straw burning, delayed wheat sowing, abnormal climatic conditions such as cold injury, terminal heat stress, depleting water table, increasing fossil fuel emissions, depleting natural resources, and so on" [10]. "However, weeds are the most important barrier under both conventional tillage (CT) and zero tillage (ZT) practices, diminishing wheat yield up to 60.5% under conventional and 70% under zero tillage practices" [41,20]. "Crop residue retention on the soil surface combined with zero tillage (ZT) results in enhanced soil quality and overall resource conservation" [21,29]. "These issues necessitate appropriate mitigation measures such as proper crop establishment methods, residue management and weed management. Traditional puddled transplanting systems effectively suppress live weeds and their viable seeds by submerging them in saturated soil, resulting in delayed weed emergence" [45,91]. "The traditional transplanted rice has recently been replaced with DSR, which

may be planted at the proper time by rotating with zero-tillage wheat. Puddled transplanted rice (PTPR) conventionally tilled wheat system was more expensive than ZT-DSR, which provided equal to or higher system (rice + wheat) yields” [44,55,90]. “In the 1980s, direct wheat seeding in the Punjab areas of Pakistan and India marked the beginning of conservation agriculture (CA) in South Asian counties” [34]. “Conventional transplanted rice is labour intensive, necessitates a lot of water, and is bad for the soil health” [25,51]. “Zero Tillage DSR can minimise the amount of water and labour needed, as well as the negative impact that puddling has on the production of a subsequent wheat crop and the health of the soil” [32,51,54]. Each one-day delay in planting wheat past the ideal date causes a yield loss of 26.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. “Weed control is particularly challenging in Zero Tillage-DSR system. Compared to TPR, the DSR fields have more species-rich and diverse weed flora” [93].

“The diversity and severity of weeds in ZT-DSR is typically associated with a shift away from transplanting and flooding method of practices, both of which play an important role in suppressing weeds under conventional tillage.

Weed-related yield losses have been observed to be considerably greater in ZT-DSR than conventional transplanted rice” [51,52,73,90]. “Moreover, compared to herbicides used in rice, pre-emergence herbicides such as butachlor, pendimethalin and pretilachlor are substantially less susceptible to resistance evolution. Due to the simultaneous appearance of weeds and rice as well as the lack of standing water during the early phases of the crop to inhibit weed development, weeds are acknowledged as the principal biological restrictions to the production of DSR” [11,12,16,13,14]. “According to recent research, unmanaged weeds in DSR resulted in yield losses of up to 98% under zero tillage conditions and 85 to 96% compared to conventional tillage” [90].

## 2. PROMINENCE OF DIRECT SEEDED RICE

Direct seeded rice (DSR) has several advantages over transplanted rice, including ease of mechanisation, quick and easy sowing, reduced labour requirements and drudgery, earlier crop maturity, increased water use efficiency, higher tolerance to water shortages, lower methane emission and frequently higher




Fig. 1. TGP–Trans Gangetic Plain; UGP–Upper Gangetic Plain; MGP–Middle Gangetic Plain; LGP–Lower gangetic Plain



**Fig. 2. Different methods of rice crop production in the IGP region**

profit in areas with guaranteed water supply [23,51,14]. Early DSR reaches maturity 12 weeks before transplanted rice, lowering the danger of terminal drought and enabling the planting of a subsequent non-rice crop early [76]. Furthermore, DSR under zero-tillage systems, leaving agricultural residues on the soil surface, improves soil physical and chemical characteristics, enhances soil organic matter and water penetration rates, conserves soil moisture, and minimises soil erosion [35,15].

### **3. METHODS OF DSR CULTIVATION**

There are three main ways to establish rice, viz. transplanting, dry-DSR and wet-DSR. These approaches differ from others either in the way crops are established (tillage) or in the way the ground is prepared. In Asia, particularly in the tropical regions, transplanting is the predominant method of crop establishment. This technique involves ponding the ground and transplanting seedlings cultivated in nurseries. Direct seeding is the practice of sowing seeds directly on to the main field as opposed to transplanting rice seedlings. This can be done both dry and wet. The first technique of planting rice was direct sowing, which was afterwards replaced by transplanting.

#### **3.1 Dry-DSR**

“In dry-DSR, rice is established using a variety of techniques, such as (i) broadcasting dry seeds

on unpuddled soil after either zero tillage (ZT) or conventional tillage (CT), (ii) using the “dibbled method” in a field that has been well-prepared, and (iii) drilling seeds in rows after CT, minimum tillage (MT) using a power tiller-operated seeder, ZT, or raised beds. In both conventional and ZT situations, a seed-cum-fertiliser drill is employed, which drills the seeds and applies fertiliser after preparing the ground or in ZT circumstances”.

#### **3.2 Wet-DSR**

“Pre-germinated seeds (1-3 mm radicle) are sown on or into puddles of soil using the wet-DSR technique. The term “aerobic Wet-DSR” refers to the seed environment created when pre-germinated seeds are placed on the surface of puddled soil. Pre-germinated seeds are usually planted or drilled into soggy soil, which creates an anaerobic wet-DSR environment. Using a drum seeder or an anaerobic seeder with a furrow opener and closure, seeds may be dispersed or sown in line in wet-DSR under aerobic and anaerobic condition”.

### **4. HISTORY OF ZERO TILLAGE (ZT) IN INDIA**

Studies on zero tillage (ZT) for wheat in India began over three decades ago [22]. In the 1970s, several state agricultural colleges attempted zero tillage practices, but their efforts were unsuccessful owing to technical issues such as inadequate planting equipment and challenges

with chemical weed control. All except a small group of lone researchers quickly gave up on this avenue of inquiry. Indian researchers were exposed to inverted-T openers by a regional wheat agronomist from Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maiz y Trigo (CIMMYT) in 1990. At the G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, the first prototype of the Indian zero-tillage seed drill was created in 1991. Within a year, the first ZT seed drill was made available for field testing following a significant expenditure of resources and several design revisions. At the turn of the century, the Indo-Gangetic Plains Rice-Wheat Consortium (RWC) and CIMMYT had a substantial effect on the adoption of zero tillage. One of the finest technologies developed since the green revolution, ZT technology is now witnessing significant success.

#### 4.1 Zero till Cultivation in Sustainable Crop Production

The burning of paddy leftovers and the deterioration of soil health are the two main issues in rice-wheat cropping system in IGP. In the northwest region of India, over 2.5 million farmers burn 23 million tonnes of paddy residues [60], which has a negative influence on the quality of the air and land. Huge amounts of toxic gases, such as carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide and carbon monoxide, are released into the atmosphere, degrading the air quality and causing serious health effects in humans [41,17,94]. There is also a loss of important soil nutrients. P, K and S that are present in the paddy residue lose 20-60% of their value when burnt, whereas C and N are lost virtually entirely [69]. Zero tillage in cereal systems has improved system productivity and soil health while conserving money, water, fuel, and other resources [57,33,31,75]. Surface retention, a method of residue management in zero-till systems, reduces greenhouse gas emissions by roughly 13 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and controls canopy temperature during grain filling stage to reduce the impacts of terminal heat on wheat [43]. In West Bengal, Mukhopadhyay and Roj [63] were the first to study zero tillage using the non-selective herbicide, paraquat. They found that zero tillage with 3.75 L ha<sup>-1</sup> of paraquat treatment increased rice production compared to conventional tillage plus one-handed weeding. Wheat was planted as the following crop in the same field, and it yielded more grain with zero tillage than with conventional tillage. It was therefore understood that chemical weeding with

non-selective herbicide application and increased residual toxicity would be essential for the success of zero tillage.

#### 4.2 Major Weed Flora in Zero-Tillage DSR System

“Based on experiences with ZT-DSR in India and other Asian countries, the shift from conventional tillage transplanted rice to ZT-DSR is expected to favour grass weed species including crowfoot grass (*Dactyloctenium aegyptium*), Chinese sprangletop (*Leptochloa chinensis*), love grass (*Eragrostis* spp.), and weedy rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), along with barnyard grass and jungle rice (*E. colona* L.), sedges such as globe fringe rush (*Fimbristylis miliacea* L.), purple nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus* L.), and rice flat sedge (*Cyperus iria* L.), which would dominate under DSR systems [51]. Other important weeds of rice under ZT in rice-wheat systems (RWS) include broadleaves such as eclipta (*Eclipta prostrata* L.), red stem (*Ammania* spp.), Caesulia (*Caesulia axillaris*), goose grass (*Sphenochloa zeylinica*), horse purslane (*Trianthema portulacastrum* L.), niruri (*Phyllanthus niruri* L.), and *Digera arvensis*, and sedges such as small flower umbrella sedge (*Cyperus difformis* L.). The majority of chief weed species in ZT-rice are highly sensitive to the depth of seed burial. For seeds, maximum emergence often happens at or near the soil surface. Most annual sedges are severely impeded from emerging at depths of 0.5 cm and completely prevented from emerging at depths of 1 cm or deeper” [11]. Similar to this, at burial depths of 0.5 cm, the emergence of the broadleaf weed eclipta was totally suppressed. While barnyard grass may sprout from depths of up to 10 cm, jungle rice and crowfoot grass can only emerge as deep as 6 cm [16]. The major weed groups that affect the productivity of DSR in the lowland areas range from grasses such as *Echinochloa* spp. and *Leptochloa chinensis* L., broadleaf species *Melochia corchorifolia* and *Ludwigia octovalvis*, to sedges such as *Fimbristylis miliacea* and *Cyperus* spp. [58,96]. According to Chhokar et al. (unpublished), yield losses caused by weeds were 90% with ZT-DSR as opposed to 35 to 42% under ZT-transplanted rice in the absence of weed management techniques.

#### 4.3 Weed Dynamics in DSR

Weeds floral makeup varied widely, and yield losses caused by weeds were more severe in saturated circumstances (54%) than in flooded

situations (35%) [46]. According to field surveys conducted between 2000 and 2004, *E. crus-galli*, *Commelina diffusa* L. and *Cyperus rotundus* L., all showed synchronous increase in density. India has also documented a rise in sedge dominance in response to DSR [98,87]. In transplanted rice, broadleaved weeds had great dominance, but in DSR, grasses and sedges were more widely dispersed. There was a shift in weed composition over the four years of experimentation in both ZT-DSR and puddled TPR. Shifts were more pronounced in the former than the latter treatment. In the ZT-DSR weedy plots in the first year, grassy weeds including *D. ciliaris*, *C. dactylon*, and *F. miaceae* had greater biomass than other species. But from the second year onward, weed biomass was greater for *C. iria* and *C. difformis* and gramineous species such as *P. scrobiculatum* and *E. crus-galli* [38].

**Table 1. Effect of establishment methods and reduction in grain yield due to weeds (Singh et al. [90])**

Sl. No.	Method of rice establishment	Reduction in yield due to weeds (%)
1	Upland rice	97
2	Upland dry seeded rice	94
3	Dry seeded rice	17-73
4	Wet seeded rice	85

#### 4.4 Zero Till Effect on Crop Yield

According to Busari et al. [9], conservation tillage (CT), which includes no-tillage and reduced tillage, protects the soil from erosion, reduces soil disturbance and boosts soil organic matter. Furthermore, recent research has demonstrated that CT influences microbial communities significantly [62] and safeguards the life cycle of arthropods while also increasing their variety [74]. A similar approach sustains crop yields and increases soil organic matter (SOM) with zero tillage combined with crop residue management [80,19,77]. According to Bhardwaj et al. [5], Pantnagar might produce up to 8% more wheat than usual. Numerous field tests have contrasted zero tillage (ZT) with conventional tillage (CT) throughout the years. The yield using ZT was comparable to or significantly greater when the crop was seeded under the two techniques on the same day. The varied establishing techniques utilised in a long-term rice-wheat cropping system in Pantnagar (10 years) revealed that the zero tillage approach produced a greater wheat grain yield than the traditional

technique. A yield potential of 10% has been noted in zero tilled DSR rice but the yield of following zero tilled wheat increased by 21%, showing the net benefit of it in the rice-wheat cropping system [78].

#### 4.5 Alternate Weed Management Practices in DSR System

##### 4.5.1 Cultural methods of weed control

###### a) Land levelling

Through the provision of a seed bed free of weeds at the time of planting, proper soil preparation aids in decreasing weed invasion. Before planting crops, the field should be levelled to ensure a consistent crop stand. Due to conventional levelling, an 8-15 cm variance in field level is seen in the Indo-Gangetic plains. Due to the uneven distribution of water in the soil profile and the flooding of recently germination seedlings, rice crops fail to establish well [30]. Better crop establishment, accurate water control and higher pesticide usage efficiency are all guaranteed by laser field levelling [43,16].

###### b) Time of sowing, seed rate and spacing

Before the monsoon season begins, rice is farmed in northern India during the kharif season. The best time to plant DSR is around 10-15 days prior to the beginning of the monsoon in order to maximise the utilisation of monsoon rain [30,49,51]. The ideal seeding rate for basmati rice cultivars with a 20 cm spacing has been found to be 20-25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [97].

High seeding rates cause too vigorous vegetative development, which uses up most of the available resources before to anthesis and reduces the formation of dry matter following anthesis [95]. As a result, the N content in foliage decreases, the spikelets become sterile and there are fewer grains per panicle [48]. Additionally, dense plant populations at high seed rates can foster the development of pests and diseases, including brown plant hoppers and sheath blight, as well as render plants more susceptible to lodging from weak stems [39]. For high-tillering cultivars, a lower seed rate can be utilised, while for medium-tillering kinds, a little greater seed rate can be used [92]. Another crucial factor that has to be considered is the depth of the seeds. When using DSR, precision planters with depth control wheels should be used for the seeding. In DSR, seed depth is



crucial for both seedling emergence and germination. Semi-dwarf cultivars have shorter mesocotyl than standard tall kinds, which make them more sensitive to seeding depth [6].

### c) Cultivar selection

Among the existing varieties and hybrids which are bred for puddled rice, some hybrids & basmati varieties have been found suitable for DSR.

### d) Stale seed bed technique

When a certain farming method is employed year after year, the weed seed bank in the soil can be reduced using the stale seedbed approach. In IGP, where rice-wheat is the primary agricultural system, this may be quite helpful. It eliminates 5-10% of the soil's weed seed population. After tillage, mild watering is used in stale seedbeds to promote the correct germination and emergence of weed seedlings. To enhance weed germination, one irrigation is given 15 days prior to planting, and the soil is thereafter kept at the ideal moisture level. To eliminate newly emerging weeds, apply a non-selective herbicide (such as glyphosate or paraquat) or a mechanical technique. When weed species have little dormancy, are planted close to the soil surface (in zero-tillage), and favourable environmental factors (light, ideal temperature) are available, stale seedbed can be particularly effective [16]. According to Singh et al. [88], employing the stale seedbed approach in direct seeded rice can lower weed density by 53% compared to the control. Because weed seeds planted deeper than 1 cm do not emerge, Chauhan and Johnson [12] found that stale seedbeds treated with paraquat and zero-till resulted in superior weed management [18]. Stale seedbed and pendimethalin were used in combination to effectively reduce weeds in DSR in extensive farmer-participatory experiments in India [89].

### e) Mulching

Another method of weed management for rice that has been direct sown is to add mulch to the soil. Mulch controls weeds, avoids soil erosion, improves soil health, and lessens diurnal temperature changes in addition to preserving moisture [71]. Mulches are believed to suppress weeds through physically preventing weed seeds from developing, blocking incoming sunlight, and by releasing certain allelochemicals. *Echinochloa crus-galli*, *Echinochloa colona*, *Dactyloctenium*

*aegyptium*, and *Eclipta alba* were among the troublesome weeds of the DSR that were shown to be susceptible to wheat straw mulch, according to [53]. *Clerodendrum trichotomum*, *Datura stramonium* L., *Desmodium triflorum* L. and *Melia azedarach* L. were shown to suppress weeds in rice by 70-90 percent according to Hong et al. [37]. During the early stage (30 DAS), mulch resulted in the highest reduction in total weed density (54%), which may be due to the inhibitory effect of allelochemicals released by wheat on weed seed germination. Singh et al. [86] studied the effect of weed suppression ability of weeds with wheat straw 4 t/ha spread uniformly at SVBPU and T. Additionally, wheat straw improves weed control and increases rice grain production. Wheat straw increased rice grain production by 22% while reducing grasses and BLW by 46% and 71%, respectively. As a result, there are more economic gains than under the control [86]. According to Hamdi et al. [36], the suppression of ryegrass by wheat straw may be caused by the emission of leachates and organic compounds.

### f) Weed competitive cultivar

Weed-competitive cultivars can be a low-cost yet effective method to increase yield and generate profits [2]. The best cultivars for direct sowing include coleoptiles with superior mechanical strength for quick germination and increased seedling vigor to combat with weeds [42,99]. Field studies were carried out by Ranasinghe [72] to determine the morphological characteristics that provide rice plants the capacity to compete successfully with weeds. He discovered that the rivalry between rice and barnyard grass varied greatly depending on the cultivar's shape. A cultivar that increases plant height, leaf area, and dry matter accumulation during the seedling stage, as well as increases plant height and leaf area when the plant is mature, gives weeds more competition. The traits linked to weed-suppressing rice cultivars were more fully formed roots, a high leaf area index, and tillering ability [24]. According to Perera et al. [67], rice cultivars suffer from lower root development, root biomass, and nutrient absorption when there is intense crop-weed competition. According to Gealy and Moldenhauer [27], weed-suppressive rice cultivars contain twice as much root biomass as non-suppressive varieties [28]. Due to higher root biomass and root proliferation, weed suppressive cultivars competed better for resources with weeds and reduced weed loss by 44% and weed

prevalence by 30% as compared to non-suppressive cultivars [27].

#### g) Brown manuring

In direct seeded rice, brown manuring with sesbania might be a viable alternative to manage weeds, enhance soil health, and increase production. 3 days after direct seeding rice, sesbania seeds at a rate of 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> can be drilled between the rows and sprayed with 2,4-D ethyl ester at a rate of 0.50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to create sesbania brown manure. According to Nawaz et al. [65] evaluation of five alternative rice-wheat cropping systems, brown manuring reduced weed density and bio mass by 62-75 % and 41-56%, respectively, in direct seeded rice with sesbania compared to solitary direct seeded rice.

#### h) Seed priming

The main goal of seed priming is to hydrate seeds for a predetermined amount of time in order to finish the pre-germinative metabolic process, although radicle emergence is prevented. Water (hydro-priming), salt solution (halo-priming), or wet sand can all be used as primers (sand matric priming). Priming enhances resilience to water and temperature stress, produced consistent germination, increased germination percentage, and increased yield. Juraimi et al. [46] discovered that several priming treatments increased the vigour of direct sown Aeron 1 plants. According to their findings, primed seeds generated seedlings that were 50 percent more vigorous than unprimed seeds. Anwar et al. [3] said that primed seeds reduce weed dry weight by 22 to 27%, primarily because they promote strong seedling growth and rapid canopy formation [47]. Additionally, they discovered that primed rice seeds yield 0.4t ha<sup>-1</sup> higher than untreated seeds.

### 4.5.2 Mechanical and manual methods of weed control

Controlling weeds through any physical activity that inhibits growth of weeds is mechanical control.

#### a) Mowing

Mowing is the process of eliminating or cutting weed shoots with a mower or sickle. It works well to eradicate annual weeds but is less effective on perennial ones since they store their food in

underground sections (rhizomes, stolons etc.) and come in many flushes. To stop seed distribution, mowing must be done prior to blooming or seed germination. Thus, collected marijuana should be burned or deeply buried to destroy any viable weed seeds [59].

#### b) Mechanical weeder

If appropriately applied, mechanical techniques can effectively control weeds while producing yields comparable to chemical ones. IGP does not use mechanical weeding because of labour and financial restrictions. According to Muthukrishnan and Purushothaman [64], HW applied twice at 25 and 45 DAS efficiently reduced the weeds and increased grain production compared to the unweeded control. Mehta et al. [61] found that HW twice, at 20 and 30 DAS, generated rice grain yield of 3.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at par with weed free (HW four times), producing 3.3 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and higher than one HW (2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and weedy (1.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pendimethalin at 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and farm waste as mulch (7.5 t/ha) added with one HW at 45 DAS decreased weed count and biomass with the highest weed control efficiency (91.3 percent), which was comparable with HW three times at 30, 60, and 90 DAS (farmers' practice), according to Singh et al. [82] report from Pantnagar, India. Only when weeds are large enough to be picked may manual weeding be used since it has an inherent opportunity cost. Due to this, human weeding is frequently done at a later stage of the growing season, as shown by yield loss comparisons between the impacts of hand weeding at 21 to 30 DAS and the usage of early post-emergence herbicides [89]. Low labour availability, high labour costs, unfavorable weather, and the existence of perennial weeds that disintegrate when pulled may all reduce the effectiveness of manual weeding. For many small and marginal farmers in Asia and Africa, mechanical weeding with inexpensive tools continues to be a viable option. On row-seeded rice, mechanical weeding is nearly always used because interrow cultivation using either hand tools or animal traction equipment shortens the weeding process and causes less crop damage. In rain-fed upland rice in India, researchers stated that a manually operated peg-type dry-land weeder with a straight-line peg arrangement has demonstrated outstanding performance over a wide variety of conditions. of soil types with varying soil moisture levels and weed intensity providing a labour saving of 57% compared with hand weeding (127 person-days/ha).



### c) Chemical method of weed control

Hand weeding is the traditional way of controlling weeds in rice, although it is time-consuming, costly, and ineffective. Chemical weed management has been proven to be efficient and cost-effective. Compared to manual weeding alone, chemical weeding is simpler, quicker, and more cost-effective [7]. A preferable option could be to use chemical weed control (Singh et al., 1998, 84). Herbicidal weed control techniques are advantageous since they allow for labour and financial savings, making them a viable option for weed management [1]. Compared to manual or mechanical techniques of weed management, herbicides offer improved weed control and are more labour-efficient. According to Jacob et al. [40] the main benefit of using herbicidal weed management in DSR is the decrease in cultivation costs. Because of poor weather conditions and sowing pressure, pre-emergence herbicide treatment is not always feasible [70]. The repeated use of large dosages of pre-emergence herbicides, according to Singh et al. [88], causes a shift in the weed flora from grasses to non-grassy weeds and the evolution of herbicide resistance in weeds as a result of the latter's protracted survival in the soil [83,84]. For weed management in DSR, this calls for the use of post-emergence herbicides, which offer broad-spectrum weed control and address the issue of herbicide resistance. According to Paswan et al. [66], mixing herbicides with several modes of action prevents target site resistance in vulnerable species by binding to various target sites in weeds. An effective substitute for hand weeding may be herbicides [12,3]. According to Singh et al. [79], consecutive application of pendimethalin *fb* penoxsulam resulted in the lowest weed biomass and density over weedy check, as well as consistently superior growth, yield characteristics, and DSR yield.

In order to manage weeds in rice, researchers investigated the effects of various carfentrazone-ethyl dosages. The maximum weed control efficacy was achieved with the application of the herbicide, which significantly decreased weed density, dry matter accumulation, and N, P, and K depletion. Additionally, it improved the crop's ability to absorb nutrients, production of grain and straw, and growth metrics. Other herbicide treatments, such as ethoxysulfuron 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, metsulfuron 4 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, and carfentrazone 15-35 g ha<sup>-1</sup> administered as post-emergence treatments, and pendimethalin 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as a

pre-emergence treatment, reported greater yields. Highest weed control efficiency and highest grain yield was recorded with the tank mixture of azimsulfuron + bispyribac + fenoxaprop. Plots treated with the post-emergence application of single dose herbicide (i.e., azimsulfuron, bispyribac or fenoxaprop) had lower grain yield than all the sequential herbicide treatments and tank mixtures (azimsulfuron + fenoxaprop and azimsulfuron + bispyribac), owing to a broad spectrum weed control. Research reveals that highest weed control efficiency was recorded in two hand weedings and lowest weed control in pendimethalin and lowest dose of pyrazosulfuron + pretilachlor and pyrazosulfuron alone. The crop growth parameters and yield attributes were recorded highest in two hand weedings, followed by pyrazosulfuron + pretilachlor which were the best broad-spectrum herbicide combinations in order to minimize the various weeds in DSR system.

### 4.5.3 Integrated weed management in DSR

The main hazard to the DSR planting method is now weed. The integrated weed management (IWM) strategy, which integrates all existing weed control techniques, including mechanical, cultural, biological, chemical, and biotechnological techniques, is best suited for eco-friendly environments and sustainable weed management. Due to the variety in growth habits of weeds, a single method of weed control cannot provide effective and sustainable weed control, as a result, it is necessary to integrate various approaches based on location and availability, such as light irrigation in a zero-till system to encourage weed emergence and then killing them with nonselective herbicide that is later used as a residue mulch, mechanical weeding to eliminate escaped weeds and herbicide resistant weeds, etc. [16]. In order to manage or control the weed of vast range, effective IWM incorporates several "small harmer technologies" as opposed to a single "big harmer" approach [51]. Weed communities are extremely susceptible to management approaches and environmental circumstances, therefore integrating several technologies is crucial for weed control [8]. Chemical weed control techniques should be used in conjunction with other weed management techniques rather than as a replacement for them. Impact of cover crops and crop establishment on weed density and dry matter accumulation in general,

transplanted rice grown with zero tillage exhibited greater dry matter and weed density than

**Table 2. Major pre- and post-emergence herbicides identified suitable for weed control in DSR in the IGP**

Time of application	Herbicides example
Pre-Emergence Herbicides	Pendimethalin, Pyrazosulfuron, Triafamone, Oxadiargyl
Post-Emergence Herbicides	Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl, Ethoxysulfuron, Metsulfuron-methyl, Chlorimuron-ethyl

puddled transplanted. Zero Tillage DSR with residue plots reported nearly equal weed densities and less dry matter accumulation over the course of years. In 2008, cowpea as a cover crop (ZTDSR-CP) had more grassy weeds than ZTDSR with residues, but in 2009 and 2010, it had 55.6 and 69.2 fewer grassy weeds than ZTDSR-R, respectively. In comparison to the ZTDSR-R and puddled transplanted plots, the plots under ZTDSR sesbania and ZT-DSR cowpea reported 7.69, 40 percent and 23, 60 percent reduced dry matter build up in 2010 [45].

## 5. FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS

creating cultivars appropriate for DSR for various rice-based systems Create irrigation schedules for various soil types and DSR based systems management procedures. Develop management techniques, such as dosing and application schedule, by understanding nutritional dynamics Under aerobic circumstances, track GHG emissions and create methods to cut N losses relative to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Crop residue cover optimization from a systems viewpoint defining management measures under DSR and comprehending the dynamics of pests and diseases.

## 6. CONCLUSION

On this premise, the application method such as tank mixing or sequential application and the strategy such as fusing cultural, mechanical, and chemical practices should be selected. Therefore, it's important to create weed management plans that are sustainable and will provide rice a competitive edge over weeds. To achieve efficient, long-lasting, and season-long weed control in DSR systems, the use of

herbicides (with appropriate timing, rotation, and combination) must be combined with other cultural approaches, such as the use of weed-competitive cultivars, optimal sowing time, use of stale seedbed practises, use of a high seeding rate and narrow crop row spacing, appropriate fertiliser and water inputs and their application method/timing, mechanical weeding etc. These agronomic and technological inventions have made weed management very effective and cost-effective by reducing the weed management costs incurred in curative tactics in DSR system. Also, developing new rice cultivars suitable for direct dry sowing and short statured with higher initial vigour would help the wider adoption of DSR.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed GJU, Mamun AA, Hossain SMA, Mridha AJ, Hossain ST. Agro-economic study of weed control in direct seeded Aus rice in the farmer's field. *Annals of Bangladesh Agriculture*. 2000;8(2):111-118.
- Andrew KS, Storkey J, Sparkes DL. A review of the potential for competitive cereal cultivars as a tool in integrated weed management. *Weed Research*. 2015;55:239-248.
- Anwar MP, Juraimi AS, Puteh A, Selamat A, Rahman MM, Samedani B. Seed priming influences weed competitiveness and productivity of aerobic rice. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B-Soil & Plant Science*. 2012;62(6):499-509.
- Babu S, Singh R, Avasthe RK, Yadav GS, Mohapatra KP, Selvan T, Petrosillo I. Soil carbon dynamics in Indian Himalayan intensified organic rice-based cropping sequences. *Ecological Indicators*. 2020; 114:106292.
- Bharadwaj AK, Singh RK, Singh SP, Singh Y, Singh G, Misra RD, Singh M, Kumar A. Weed management in zero till sown wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2004; 36:175-177.
- Blanche B, Harrell D, Saichuk J. General Agronomic Guidelines. In Louisiana rice production handbook 2009 (J. Saichuk, ed.), Pub.2321 (3M) 6/09 Rev. 2009 ;3-15.

7. Brar LS, Mishra SP. Weed control in groundnut with pre and post emergence herbicides. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 1989;21(1-2):16-21.
8. Buhler DD, Liebman M, Obrycki JJ. Theoretical and practical challenges to an IPM approach to weed management. *Weed Science*. 2000;48:274-280.
9. Busari MA, Kukal SS, Kaur A, Bhatt R, Dulazi AA. Conservation tillage impacts on soil, crop and the environment. *Int. Soil Water Conserv. Res*. 2015;3:119–129. DOI: 10.1016/j.iswcr.2015.05.002
10. Chaudhary A, Chhokar RS, Yadav DB, Sindhu VK, Ram H, Rawal S, Khedwal RS, Sharma R. K, Gill SC. In-situ paddy straw management practices for higher resource use efficiency and crop productivity in Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP) of India. *Journal of Cereal Research*. 2019; 11(3):172-198.
11. Chauhan BS, Johnson DE. Influence of tillage systems on weed seedling emergence pattern in rainfed rice. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2009;106:1521.
12. Chauhan BS, Johnson DE. The role of seed ecology in improving weed management strategies in the tropics. *Advances in Agronomy*. 2010;105:221-262.
13. Chauhan BS, Mahajan G, Sardana V, Timsina J, Jat ML. Productivity and sustainability of the rice-wheat cropping system in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of the Indian subcontinent: Problems, opportunities, and strategies. *Advances in Agronomy*. 2012a;117:315-369.
14. Chauhan BS, Singh RG, Mahajan G. Ecology and management of weeds under conservation agriculture: A review. *Crop Protection*. 2012b;38:5765.
15. Chauhan BS, Yadav A, Malik RK. Zero tillage and its impact on soil properties: A brief review. *Herbicide Resistance Management and Zero Tillage in Rice–Wheat System*. 2002:109-114.
16. Chauhan BS. Weed ecology and weed management strategies for dry-seeded rice in Asia. *Weed Technology*. 2012;26:1-13.
17. Chethan CR, Singh PK, Dubey RP, Chander S, Gosh D, Choudhary VK, et al. Crop residue management to reduce GHG emissions and weed infestation in Central India through mechanized farm operations. *Carbon Manag*. 2020;11:565–576.
18. Chhokar RS, Sharma RK, Singh RK, Gill SC. Herbicide resistance in little seed canary grass (*Phalaris minor*) and its management. In *Proceedings of the 14th Australian Agronomy Conference*. Adelaide, South Australia: Australian Society of Agronomy. 2008;106.
19. Das S, Bhattacharyya R, Das TK, Sharma AR, Dwivedi B, Meena M, et al. Soil quality indices in a conservation agriculture based rice-mustard cropping system in North-western Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Soil Tillage Res*. 2021;208:104914. DOI: 10.1016/j.still.2020.104914
20. Das TK, Ghosh S, Gupta K, Sen S, Behera B, Raj R. The weed *Orobancha*: species distribution, diversity, biology and management. *Journal of Research in Weed Science*. 2020;3(2):162-180.
21. Das TK, Saharawat YS, Bhattacharyya R, Sudhishri S, Bandyopadhyay KK, Sharma AR, Jat ML. Conservation agriculture effects on crop and water productivity, profitability and soil organic carbon accumulation under a maize-wheat cropping system in the North-western Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Field Crops Research*. 2018;215:222-231.
22. Ekboir J. Developing no-till packages for small-scale farmers. In: *CIMMYT 2000-2001 world wheat overview and outlook*. J. Ekboir (Ed.) Mexico City: CIMMYT: 2002; 138.
23. Farooq M, Siddique KHM, Rehman HMU, Aziz T, Lee D, Wahid A. Rice direct seeding: Experiences, challenges and opportunities. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2011;111:8798.
24. Fofana B, Rauber R. Weed suppression ability of upland rice under low input conditions in West Africa. *Weed Research*. 2000;40:271-280.
25. Gathala MK, JK. Ladha YS. Saharawat V. Kumar V. Kumar PK. Sharma. Effect of tillage and crop establishment methods on physical properties of a medium-textured soil under a seven-year rice-wheat rotation. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J*. 2011;75:1851–1862.
26. Gathala MK, Laing AM, Tiwari TP, Timsina J, Islam MS, Chowdhury AK, Chattopadhyay C, Singh AK, Bhatt BP, Shrestha R, Barma NC. Enabling smallholder farmers to sustainably improve their food, energy and water nexus while achieving environmental and economic benefits. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2020;120:109645.

27. Gealy DR, Moldenhauer KA. Use of <sup>13</sup>C isotope discrimination analysis to quantify distribution of barnyardgrass and rice roots in a four-year study of weed-suppressive rice. *Weed Sci.* 2012;60:133-142.
28. Ghosh S, Das TK, Shivay YS, Bhatia A, Biswas DR, Bandyopadhyay KK, Sudhishri S, Yeasin M, Raj R, Sen S, Rathi N. Conservation agriculture effects on weed dynamics and maize productivity in the maize-wheat-green gram system in north-western Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. *Indian Journal of Weed Science.* 2021;53(3):244-251.
29. Ghosh S, Das TK, Sharm D, Gupta K. Potential of conservation agriculture for ecosystem services: A review. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Science.* 2019;89(10):1572-1579.
30. Gopal R, Jat RK, Malik RK, Kumar V, Alam MM, Jat ML, Mazid MA, Saharawat YS, McDonald, A. and Gupta, R. Direct Dry Seeded Rice Production Technology and Weed Management in Rice Based Systems. Technical Bulletin. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre, New Delhi, India: 2010;28.
31. Gupta RK, Seth A. A review of resource conserving technologies for sustainable management of the rice-wheat cropping systems of the Indo Gangetic plains. *Crop Protection.* 2007b;26:436-447.
32. Gupta R, Seth A. A review of resource conserving technologies for sustainable management of the rice-wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP). *Crop Protection.* 2007;26: 436-447.
33. Gupta RK, Sayre K. Conservation Agriculture in South Asia. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 2007a;145: 207-214.
34. Hafeez-Ur-Rehman, Nawaz A, Wakeel A, Saharawat YS, Farooq M. Conservation agriculture in South Asia. In *Conservation Agriculture*, Farooq, M. and Siddique, K.H.M., Eds. Springer Publishing House: Cham; 2015 ISBN 978-3-319-11619-8.
35. Hajabbasi MA, Hemmat A. Tillage impacts on aggregate stability and crop productivity in a clay loam soil in central Iran. *Soil and Tillage Research.* 2000;56:205-212.
36. Hamdi BA, Inderjit Olofdotter M, Streibig JC. Laboratory bioassay of phytotoxicity. *Agronomy Journal.* 2001;93:43-48.
37. Hong N, Xuan T, Tsuzuki E, Terao H, Matsuo M, Khanh T. Weed control of four higher plant species in paddy rice fields in Southeast Asia. *J Agron. Crop Science.* 2004;190:59-64.
38. Hossain K, Timsina J, Johnson DE., Gathala MK, Krupnik TJ. Multi-year weed community dynamics and rice yields as influenced by tillage, crop establishment, and weed control: Implications for rice-maize rotations in the eastern Gangetic plains. *Crop Protection,* 2020; 138:105334.
39. Islam MF, Sarkar MAR, Islam MS, Parveen S, Hossain MS. Effects of crop establishment methods on root and shoot growth, lodging behavior of Aus rice. *International Journal of Biological and medical Research.* 2008;5:60–64.
40. Jacob G, Menon MV, Abraham CT. Comparative efficacy of new herbicides in direct seeded rice. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture.* 2014;52:174-177.
41. Jain, N., Bhatia, A., and Pathak H. Emission of air pollutants from crop residue burning in India. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 2014;14:422–430.
42. Jannink JL, Orf JH, Jordan NR, Shaw RG. Index selection for weed-suppressive ability in soybean. *Crop Science.* 2000;40: 1087-1094.
43. Jat ML, Gathala MK, Ladha JK, Saharawat YS, Jat AS, Vipin K, Sharma SK, Kumar V, and Gupta RK. Evaluation of precision land leveling and double zero-till systems in the rice-wheat rotation: Water use, productivity, profitability and soil physical properties. *Soil and Tillage Research.* 2009;105:112–121.
44. Jat RK, Sapkota TB, Singh RG, Jat M L, Kumar M, Gupta RK. Seven years of conservation agriculture in a rice-wheat rotation of Eastern Gangetic Plains of South Asia: yield trends and economic profitability. *Field Crops Research.* 2014;164:199-210.
45. Jat RK, Singh RG, Gupta RK, Gill G, Chauhan BS, Pooniya V. Tillage, crop establishment, residue management and herbicide applications for effective weed control in direct seeded rice of eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains of South Asia. *Crop Protection.* 2019;123:12-20.
46. Juraimi AS, Anwar MP, Selamat A, Puteh A, Man A. The influence of seed priming on weed suppression in aerobic rice. *Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research.* 2012;18:257 264.
47. Juraimi AS, Najib MYM, Begum M, Anuar AR, Azmi M, Puteh A. Critical period of weed competition in direct seeded rice

- under saturated and flooded conditions. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*. 2009;32:305–316.
48. Kabir MH, Saha A, Mollah IU, Kabir MS, Rahman F. Effect of crop establishment methods and weed management practices on the productivity of boro rice in lowland ecosystem. *International Journal of Biological and medical Research*. 2008;5: 42–51,
  49. Kamboj BR, Kumar A, Bishnoi DK, Singla K, Kumar V, Jat ML, Chaudhary N, Jat HS, Gosain D. K, Khippal A, Garg R, Lathwal OP, Goyal SP, Goyal NK, Yadav A, Malik DS, Mishra A, Bhatia R. Direct Seeded Rice Technology in Western Indo-Gangetic Plains of India: CSISA Experiences. CSISA, IIRI and CIMMYT, 2012;16.
  50. Kumar N, Chhokar RS, Meena RP, Kharub AS, Gill SC, Tripathi SC, et al. Challenges and opportunities in productivity and sustainability of rice cultivation system: A critical review in Indian perspective. *Cereal Research Communication*. 2021;50: 573–601.
  51. Kumar V, Ladha JK. Direct-seeding of rice: recent developments and future research needs. *Advances in Agronomy*. 2011;111: 297-413.
  52. Kumar V, Bellinder RR, Gupta RK, Malik RK, Brainard DC. Role of herbicide resistant rice in promoting resource conservation technologies in rice–wheat cropping systems in India: A review. *Crop Protection*, 2008;27:290-301.
  53. Kumar V, Singh S, Chhokar RS, Malik RK, Brainard DC, Ladha JK. Weed management strategies to reduce herbicide use in zero-till rice–wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Weed Technology*. 2013;27(1):241-254.
  54. Ladha JK, Kumar V, Alam MM, Sharma S, Gathala M, Chandna P, Balasubramanian V. Integrating crop and resource management technologies for enhanced productivity, profitability, and sustainability of the rice-wheat system in South Asia. *Integrated crop and resource management in the rice–wheat system of South Asia*: 2009;69-108.
  55. Laik R, Sharma S, Idris M, Singh AK, Singh SS, Bhatt BP, Ladha JK. Integration of conservation agriculture with best management practices for improving system performance of the rice–wheat rotation in the Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2014;195:68-82.
  56. Lin YC, Lin CC, Lee M, Chiueh PT, Lo SL, Liou ML. Comprehensive assessment of regional food-energy-water nexus with GIS-based tool. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 2019;151:104457.
  57. Malik RK, Gupta RK, Singh CM, Yadav A, Brar SS, Thakur TC, Singh SS, Khan AR, Singh R and Sinha RK. 5 (Eds). *Accelerating the adoption of resource conservation technologies in rice wheat systems of the IGP. Project Workshop Proceedings*. CCSHAU, Hisar; 2005.
  58. Martin RJ, Van Ogtrop F, Henson Y, Broeum K, Rien R, Srean P, Tan DKY. A survey of weed seed contamination of rice paddy in Cambodia. *Weed Res*. 2017;57 (5):333–341.
  59. Matloob A, Khaliq A, Chauhan BS. Weeds of direct-seeded rice in Asia: Problems and opportunities. *Advances in Agronomy*. 2015;130:291-336.
  60. Meena RP, Venkatesh K, Khobra R, Tripathi SC, Prajapat K, Sharma RK. et al. Effect of rice residue retention and foliar application of k on water productivity and profitability of wheat in North West India. *Agronomy*. 2020;10:434.
  61. Mehta RK, Singh G, Singh OP. Weed management in rainfed lowland rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 1993;25(2):99-101.
  62. Morugán-Coronado A, Pérez-Rodríguez P, Insolia E, Soto-Gómez D, Fernández Calviño D, and Zornoza R. The impact of crop diversification, tillage and fertilization type on soil total microbial, fungal and bacterial abundance: A worldwide meta-analysis of agricultural sites. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ*. 2022;329:107867.
  63. Mukhopadhyay SK, Rooj SK. Minimal cultivation of rice by use of gramoxone. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 1971;16 (3): 79-81.
  64. Muthukrishnan P, Purushothaman S. Effect of irrigation regime, weed control and biofertilisers on growth and yield of rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 1992;24(3-4):26-29.
  65. Nawaz A, Farooq M, Lal R, Rehman A, Hussain T, Nadeem A. Influence of sesbania brown manuring and rice residue mulch on soil health, weeds and system productivity of conservation rice– wheat systems. *Land Degradation and Development*. 2017;28:1078-1090.

66. Paswan A, Kumar R, Kumar P, Singh R. Influence of Metsulfuron-methyl and carfentrazone-ethyl either alone or in combination on weed flora, crop growth and yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Madras Agricultural Journal. 2012;99:560-562.
67. Perera K, Ayres P, Gunasena H. Root growth and the relative importance of root and shoot competition in interactions between rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and *Echinochloa crus-galli*. Weed Research. 1992;32:67-76.
68. Pooniya V, Shivay YS, Rana A, Nain L, Prasanna R. Enhancing soil nutrient dynamics and productivity of Basmati rice through residue incorporation and zinc fertilization. European Journal of Agronomy. 2012;41:28-37.
69. Porichha GK, Hu Y, Rao KT, Xu CC. Crop residue management in India: stubble burning vs. other utilizations including bioenergy. Energies. 2021;14:42-81.
70. Porwal MK. Weed management through herbicide in direct drilled upland rice in rainfed situations of southern Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 1999;31:196-198.
71. Qin J, Hu F, Li D, Li H, Lu J., Yu R. The effect of mulching, tillage and rotation on yield in non-flooded compared with flooded rice production. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 2010;196:397-406.
72. Ranasinghe LL. Enhancement of the weed competitive ability of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars. Ph. D. thesis; 1995.
73. Rao AN, Johnson DE, Sivaprasad B, Ladha JK, Mortimer AM. Weed management in direct seeded rice. Advances in Agronomy. 2007;93:153-255.
74. Rivers A, Barbercheck M, Govaerts B, Verhulst N. Conservation agriculture affects arthropod community composition in a rainfed maize-wheat system in central Mexico. Applied Soil Ecology. 2016; 100:81.
75. Saharawat YS, Singh B, Malik RK and Kumar V. Evaluation Current status of zero tillage in weed management 8 of alternative tillage and crop establishment methods in a rice-wheat rotation in north westerns IGP. Field Crop Research. 2010;116:262-267.
76. Saleh AFM, Mazid MA, Bhuiyan SI. Agro-hydrologic and drought-risk analyses of rainfed cultivation in northwest Bangladesh. Characterizing and understanding rainfed environments, 2000; 233-244.
77. Saurabh K, Rao KK, Mishra JS, Kumar R, Poonia SP, Samal SK, et al. Influence of tillage based crop establishment and residue management practices on soil quality indices and yield sustainability in rice-wheat cropping system of Eastern Indo-Gangetic Plains. Soil Tillage Research. 2021;206:104841.
78. Sharma PC, Datta A, Yadav AK, Choudhary M, Jat HS, McDonald A. Effect of Crop Management Practices on Crop Growth, Productivity and Profitability of Rice-Wheat System in Western Indo-Gangetic Plains. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B Biol. Sci. 2019;89:715-727.
79. Singh AK, Sakarwar P, Singh NK, Prasad SK, Singh MK. Weed suppression, growth and yield attributes and yield of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by nitrogen rates and herbicide sequence. Bangladesh Journal of Botany. 2017;46(2): 751-759.
80. Singh G, Bhattacharyya R, Das TK, Sharma A, Ghosh A, Das S, et al. Crop rotation and residue management effects on soil enzyme activities, glomalin and aggregate stability under zero tillage in the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Soil and Tillage Research, 2018;184: 291–300.
81. Singh G, Singh RG, Singh OP, Kumar T, Mehta RK, Kumar V, Singh RP. Effect of weed-management practices on direct-seeded rice under puddle lowlands. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 2005a;50(2):35-37.
82. Singh G, Singh VP, Singh Y, Singh KM, Mortimer M, Johnson DE, White L. Efficacy of herbicides alone and in combinations in direct seeded rice. Biennial Conference ISWS, March 12-14, G.B. P.U.A.&T., Pantnagar. 2003:03.
83. Singh M, Sairam CV, Hanji MB, Prabhukumar S, Kishor N. Crop-weed competition and weed management studies in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2012;57(1):38-42.
84. Singh R, Mukhopadhyay SK, Patel CS. Economic evaluation of integrated weed management practices in upland rice. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 1998;30 (1-2):79-80.
85. Singh R, Singh A, Sheoran P, Fagodiya RK, Rai AK, Chandra P, Sharma PC. Energy efficiency and carbon footprints of rice-wheat system under long-term tillage

- and residue management practices in western Indo-Gangetic Plains in India. *Energy*. 2022;244:122655.
86. Singh S. Role of management practices on control of isoproturon-resistant little seed canary grass (*Phalaris minor*) in India. *Weed Technol*. 2007;21:239–346.
87. Singh S SK. Production potential of the direct-seeded rice-wheat cropping system. *Direct Seeding of Rice and Weed Management in the Irrigated Rice-wheat Cropping System of the Indo-Gangetic Plains*. 2008;1: 61.
88. Singh S, Chhokar RS, Gopal R, Ladha JK, Kumar V, Singh M. Integrated weed management : A key to success for direct-seeded rice in the Indo-Gangetic plains. In: "Integrated Crop and Resource Management in the Rice-Wheat System of South Asia" (J. K. Ladha, Y. Singh, O. Erenstein, and B. Hardy, Eds.),. International Rice Research institute, Los Banos, Philippine; 2009:261-278.
89. Singh VP, Singh G, Singh SP, Kumar A, Singh Y, Johnson DE, Mortimer M. Effect of rice wheat establishment methods and weed management in irrigated rice-wheat production system. In: *Workshop on Direct seeded rice in the rice-wheat system of the Indo Gangetic Plains*. 2005, Pantnagar, Uttaranchal, India. 2005b:12
90. Singh Y, Singh VP, Singh G, Yadav DS, Sinha RKP, Johnson DE, Mortimer AM. The implications of land preparation, crop establishment method and weed management on rice yield variation in the rice-wheat system in the Indo-Gangetic plains. *Field Crops Research*. 2011;121(1): 64-74.
91. Soni J, Choudhary V, Singh PK, Hota S. Weed management in conservation agriculture, its issues and adoption: A review. *J Crop Weed*. 2020;16:9–19.
92. Soo LK, Subinon BJ, Thong OS. Cultural practices and paddy planting in the Trusan Sapi Paddy Project. In *Proc. In-house Seminar on Paddy*, Dep. of Agriculture, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; 1989.
93. Tomita S, Miyagawa S, Kono Y, Noichana C, Inamura T, Nagata Y, Nawata E. Rice yield losses by competition with weeds in rainfed paddy fields in north-east Thailand. *Weed Biology and Management*. 2003;3(3):162-171.
94. Venkatramanan V, Shah S, Rai AK, Prasad R. Nexus between crop residue burning, bio-economy and sustainable development goals over north-western India. *Front. Energy Res*. 2021;8:614212.
95. Wells BR, Faw WF. Short-statured rice response to seeding and N rates. *Agronomy Journal*. 1978;70: 477-480.
96. Ya P, Bariuan JV, Sta Cruz PC, Pangga GV, Khay S. Response of lowland weeds and direct-seeded lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) to varying herbicide and surfactant dose mixtures Phauk. *J. Res. Weed Sci*. 2020;3(3):270–280.
97. Yadav S, Gill MS, Kukal SS. Performance of direct-seeded basmati rice in loamy sand in semi-arid subtropical India. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2007;97:229–238.
98. Yaduraju NT, Mishra JS. Sedges in rice culture and their management. *Direct seeding of rice and weed management in the irrigated rice-wheat cropping system of the Indo-Gangetic Plains*. 2008:191-203.
99. Zhao D, Atlin G, Bastiaans L, Spiertz J. Developing selection protocols for weed competitiveness in aerobic rice. *Field Crops Research*. 2006;97:272-285.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116827>